STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		\mathbf{a}	
ПV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-60304 2006 September 24, 2012 Wayne (82-57)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chav	/ez	
HEARING DECI	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrat and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on September Participants on behalf of Claimant included of the Department of Human Services (Department)	for a hearing. 24, 2012, from . P	After due notice, a
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verificatio properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	-
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ⊠ was receiving: ☐F	TIP □FAP ☑MA [□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant was required to submit requested rec	letermination pape	ers by June 1, 2012.

3. (On July 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application. closed Claimant's case. reduced Claimant's benefits.
	On June 18, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	On June 21, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 L Age 313	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, JSC 601, <i>et seq</i> . The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-1. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ober 1, 1996.
prog impl Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R .3001-3015
Sec The	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
for d	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known he Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL .10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Claimant testified that she both received the redetermination packet and placed the redetermination packet into the drop box at the Department.

The Department testified that no redetermination packet was received and, furthermore, a note in Claimant's case file that the packet had been received on June 22, 2012, was a mistake put in by the Bridges system.

The Administrative Law Judge generally found Claimant's testimony credible, as Claimant listed specific dates as to when she could have turned the packet in, and did not deny receiving the packet.

Furthermore, Claimant testified to signing a log when returning the packet. The Department supplied the logs in question after Claimant requested the logs be admitted into evidence.

While Claimant's name was not on the logs provided, the Administrative Law Judge, after reviewing the logs, can only conclude that the Department did not supply all of the logs in question. The log provided for May 29, 2012, is only half complete, with the entire afternoon log missing. No explanation was provided for these missing entries, and it appears that there was no incomplete fax receipt, as the log pages match the number of pages shown on the fax cover sheet.

Claimant wished to provide evidence that was in the Department's control. The Department did not provide the complete evidence into the record that it possessed. Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge must assume that the missing evidence in question would have been favorable to Claimant.

Evidence favorable to Claimant would have included Claimant's name. Therefore, as Claimant credibly testified to signing the logs and dropping off the redetermination packet, and the Department failed to provide evidence rebutting Claimant's testimony, and as the Department failed to provide all the evidence requested, resulting in necessary evidentiary assumptions by the undersigned, the undersigned must hold that Claimant did turn in the redetermination packet as requested.

As such, the Department was in error when it closed Claimant's case.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
 ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\hfill \square$ AFFIRMED $\hfill \boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\hfill \square$ The department is ordered to do the following within 10 days of the date of mailing of this decision and order:
Reprocess the MA redetermination in question, and reopen Claimant's MA case retroactive to the date of negative action.
Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: October 17, 2012
Date Mailed: October 17, 2012
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

2012-60304/RJC

- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

