STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201259715 2018 September 25, 2012 Wayne-35 County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt		
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 25, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) included		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Departm ent properly $\ \square$ deny Claiman t's application $\ \boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:		

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).
 ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).
 ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).

- 2. On March 31, 2012, the Department closed the Claimant's MA case.
- 3. On June 13, 2012, the Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the closure of her MA case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Department in this case both closed a MA case and denied a MA application during the 90 day period prior to the Claimant requesting the hearing. The Department did not provide any testimony regar ding the reasons why the MA case was denied and the Department could not explain why the Claimant was not deferred for a disabilit y determination by MRT prior to the MA closure.

Therefore, I am reversing the Department in this matter as there has been little evidence as to whether or not the case closure and subsequent application denial was proper.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits beginning March 31, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 25, 2012

Date Mailed: September 26, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

