STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	IN	J	т	н	F	N	1 4	T	ΓΕ	R	0	F٠
ı	H,			п		I۷	1/-	۱ı		\mathbf{r}	v	г.



Reg. No.: 2012-5970

Issue No.: 1080 Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 28, 2011 County: Wayne (Dist. #31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 28, 2011, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included none. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Denise Payton, DHS representative.

ISSUE

						
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).					

	On October 11, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to time-limit of federal and state monthly benefits pursuant to BEM 234.
	On October 11, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
	On October 11, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☑ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
-	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 Age 313	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-11. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ober 1, 1996.
prog imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is lemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS Rec. 3001-3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 1.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ninistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Ser	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and 199	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE IXX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 0, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, a program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, claimant did not disagree with the correctness of the case closure based on DHS policy requirements; claimant wants an exception to the policy based on a financial hardship. ALJs have no legal authority to make exceptions to DHS policy requirements per BAM 600

Based upon the above Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative Lav	nd Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons w Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case	improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case
for: 🗌 AMP 🖾 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SD	A CDC.
DECISION	AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upor of Law, and for the reasons stated on the re idea did act properly. ☐ did not act property.	
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the re	FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision asons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION	DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF ON AND ORDER:
	William A Sundquist

William A. Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 28, 2011

Date Mailed: November 29, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/tg

