# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-58978

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.: Hearing Date:

October 2, 2012

County: Mecosta

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge upon the Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which govern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, an in person hearing was commenced on October 2, 2012. Cl aimant personally appeare d and testified along with his representative from Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

#### ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (the department) properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and Retro-MA?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On January 19, 2012, Claimant filed an app lication for MA-P and Retro-MA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On March 16, 2012, the Medical Rev iew Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA-P and Ret ro-MA indicating that he was capable of per forming other work, pursuant to 20 CFR 416.920(f).
- (3) On March 20, 2012, the depar tment caseworker sent Claimant notice that his application was denied.

- (4) On June 13, 2012, Claimant file d a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On July 24, 2012, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) foun d Claimant was not disabled and retained the capacity to perform a wide range of light work. (Department Exhibit B, pp 1-2).
- (6) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of low back pain with bilateral radiculopathy, cervical spine bulging disc with left arm pain, hypertension poorly controlled on medication and diabetes on medication.
- (7) Claimant does not have a nicotine/alcohol/drug problem.
- (8) Claimant is a 55 year old man whos e birthday is Claimant completed high school and two years of college.
- (9) Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Sec urity disabilit y benefits at the time of the hearing.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medic al Ass istance (MA) program is established by Subc hapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department, (DHS or de partment), pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrativ e Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility M anual (BEM), and the Re ference Tables Manual (RFT).

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 mont hs. 20 CFR 416.905(a). The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medic al history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical as sessment of ability to do work-related activities o r ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental dis ability is all eged. 20 CRF 413.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves , sufficient to establis h disability. 20 CFR 416. 908; 20 CFR 416.929(a) . Similarly, conc lusory statements by a physician or mental health pr ofessional that an indiv idual is dis abled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

When determining disability, the federal regul ations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the loca tion/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effect iveness/side effects of any medication the applicant takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relie ve pain; and, (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CF R 416.929(c)(3). The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitat ion(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2).

In order to determine whether or not an individual is di sabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation proces s be utilized. 20 CF R 416.920(a)(1). The five-step analysis require s the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the se verity of the impair ment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed im pairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual c an perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (e.g., age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and t he work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardles s of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CF R 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analys is c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- Does the client have 5. the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? T his step considers the residual funct ional cap acity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analy sis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need to eval uate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If a determination cannot be made that an individual is dis abled, or not dis abled, at a par ticular step, the next st ep is required. 20 CF 416.920(a)(4). If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from Step 3 to Step 4. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945. Residual functional capacity is the most an indiv idual can do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1). An indi vidual's residual f unctional capacity and 5. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). In assessment is evaluated at both Steps 4 determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv). In gen eral, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CF R 416.912(a). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CF R 416.921(a). The indiv idual has the responsibility to provide ev idence of prior work experience; efforts to work; and any other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CFR 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evide nce required by Claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate Claimant's claims or Claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include:

(1) Medical history.

- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). The medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d). Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) Sy mptoms are your own description of your physica I or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate s pecific ps ychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behav ior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically accept able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psy chological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

The medical findings must allow us to determine:

(1) The nature and limiti ng effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;

- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us t o understand how y our impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e). You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medic ally determinable physical or mental impairment which c an be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. Se e 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must re sult from anatomic al, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinica I and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, Claimant is not ineligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently worki ng. 20 CFR 416.920( b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the anal ysis looks at a two-fold assessment of durati on and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This sec ond step is a *de m inimus* standard. According to Cla imant's primary care physic ian, Claimant 's cond ition is deteriorating and he needs back surgery. Ruling any ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Clai mant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the pas t. 20 CFR 41 6.920(f). In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claim ant has a very limited work history and has not worked since 1999. Therefore, the analysis continues to Step 5.

The fifth and final step of the analys is applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functiona. I capacity of the applic ant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claim and meets statutory disability on the basis of Medical/Vocation Grid Rule footnote 201.12 as a guide.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The department shall proces s Claimant's January 19, 2012, MA/Retro-MA application, and shall award him all the benefits he may be entitled to receive, as long as he meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The depar tment shall review Cla imant's medical condition for improvement in October, 2014, unless his Social Securit y Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- The depar tment shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding his c ontinued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

Vicki
Administrative
L. Armstrong
Law Judge
For Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 22, 2012

Date Mailed: October 22, 2012

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely r equest for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

# 2012-58978/VLA

# VLA/las

