STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201258881 Issue No: 3002

Case No:

Hearing Date: July 18, 2012

Jackson County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 18, 2012. Claimant appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services determine the proper amount of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on May 31, 2012?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 2. On May 30, 2012, Claimant's benefit group was changed to a group of three.
- 3. On May 31, 2012, new financial eligibility budget was run to include the new members of the group. The budget showed that Claimant's benefit group was eligible for of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits per month. Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) giving her notice of the determination.
- 4. On June 5, 2012, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

When determining eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in accordance with Department policy, the total gross income of the household must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included, unless specifically excluded. Bridges Eligibility Manual, Item 500. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) program provides a deduction from earned income and a deduction for the cost of child care when necessary to enable a Food Assistance Program (FAP) household member to work. A standard deduction from income is allowed for each household. The amount of the standard deduction depends on the number of members in the household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses may be deducted for senior/disabled/disabled veteran group members.

Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income, after all of the other deductions have been allowed. There is a maximum deduction for households that do not contain a member classified as a senior, disabled, or veteran. Bridges Eligibility Manual, Items 500 and 554; Program Reference Manual, Table 255; 7 CFR 273.2.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the Food Assistance Program (FAP) budget and finds that the department properly computed the claimant's net income and expenses. The federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide standards for the amount of a household's benefits. The department in compliance with the federal regulations has prepared income limit and issuance tables which are set forth at Program Reference Manual, Table 250 and Table 260. The tables provide that a household with household size and net income of the claimant's is eligible for a Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment of

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services determined the proper amount of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on May 31, 2012.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **UPHELD**.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 23, 2012

Date Mailed: July 24, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/tb

CC:

