## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF.	Issue No: 3008 Case No: July 17, 2012 Macomb County DHS		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, July 17, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included .			
ISSUE			
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:			
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was ☐CDC.</li> </ol>	receiving:		
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not (DHS-3503).	provided with a Verification Checklist		
Claimant was required to submit	requested verification by May 25, 2012.		

4.	On June 1, 2012, the Department $\square$ denied Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case $\square$ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.	
5.	On June 1, 2012, the Department sent notice of the $\square$ denial of Claimant's application. $\boxtimes$ closure of Claimant's case. $\square$ reduction of Claimant's benefits.	
6.	On June 6, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\square$ denial. $\boxtimes$ closure. $\square$ reduction.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
Responsibility 42 USC 601 Agency) adruthrough Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ty and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 et 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemented Regulations	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is d by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ininisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.	
Security Act The Departn	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.	
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 are Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	

Date Mailed: July 19, 2012

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge properly improperly closed Claimant's case. reduced Claimant's benefits.	concludes that the Department
DECISION AND ORDE	<u>R</u>
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above I of Law, and for the reasons stated on the reco ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	•
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ <b>AFFI</b> reasons stated on the record.	RMED  REVERSED for the
	/s/ Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Date Signed: July 19, 2012	Department of Human Services

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 201258485/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

