

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201258451  
Issue No.: 1000  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 18, 2012  
County: Wayne DHS (57)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**SETTLEMENT ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 18, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included [REDACTED], Manager.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's eligibility for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits effective 7/2012.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient.
2. Claimant's FIP benefit group included a [REDACTED], who was a mandatory WPP participant.
3. On 5/1/12, [REDACTED] left Claimant's household.
4. On 6/1/12, DHS initiated termination of Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility effective 7/2012 based on [REDACTED] alleged noncompliance with WPP participation.
5. On 6/8/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FIP benefit termination.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).


The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2). In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a FIP benefit termination effective 7/2012. The termination was based on an alleged failure by [REDACTED], a former household member of Claimant's, to attend WPP. DHS conceded that the termination was improper because [REDACTED] left the household on 5/1/12 and that [REDACTED] WPP attendance should not have affected Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to reinstate Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility effective 7/2012 subject to the finding that [REDACTED] was not a FIP benefit group member for 7/2012 and that the prior FIP benefit termination was improper. Claimant agreed with the proposal. As the agreement appears to comply with DHS regulations, the settlement among the parties shall be accepted.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact, conclusions of law and by agreement of the parties, finds that DHS improperly terminated Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility effective 7/2012. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility effective 7/2012 subject to the finding that [REDACTED] was not a household member of Claimant's; and
- (2) supplement Claimant for any FIP benefit eligibility not received as a result of the improper FIP benefit termination.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

  
Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 25, 2012

Date Mailed: July 25, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc:

