STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2012-58247 2006 September 20, 2012 Wayne (18) | | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Bu | urke | | | |
| HEARING DECISION | | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 20, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant include d Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included | | | | |
| ISSUE | | | | |
| Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claiman t's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ calculate and process Claimant's benefits for: | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | ☐ Adult Medical As ☑ State Disability A ☐ Child Developme | | | |
| FINDINGS OF | F FACT | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material | e competent, materi fact: | al, and substantial | | |
| 1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received | benefits for: | | | |

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

| | On June 1, 2012, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | On June 8, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| • | partment policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| Sec The Age | e Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105. |
| disa (for pur | e State Disability Assistanc e (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for abled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services merly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters the SDA program suant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180. |
| | ents must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining al and ongoing eligibility. BAM 105; BAM 130 |
| Cla rece ass app wou | the present case, the Department denied Claimant's MA and SDA application due to imant failing to appear fo r an appoint ment. Claimant te stified that he may have eived the Appoint ment Notice, dated May 17, 2012, but he was out of the state isting a relative, his mail was collected by his sis ter, and he was unaware of the pointment. However, Claimant is required to cooperate with the Department, which all include staying in touch with the Department once an application for assistance as been made. |
| | sed upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department |
| | properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's benefits improperly processed and c alculated imant's benefits |
| for: | MA and SDA. |

DECISION AND ORDER

| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly. |
|---|
| Accordingly, the Department's $$ MA and SDA decision is $$ AFFIRMED $$ $$ REVERSEI for the reasons stated on the record. |
| ☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS O THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: |

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 10, 2012

Date Mailed: October 10, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/ctl

cc: Wayne County DHS (18)

S. Burke