

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] No.: 2012-573  
Issue No.: 5016  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: January 31, 2013  
County: Wayne (41)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jan Leventer

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on January 31, 2013, at Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant were the Claimant, her Authorized Representative, [REDACTED] and her son [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) were [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist, Clovis Screws, Family Independence Manager, and [REDACTED], Lead Specialist, Office of Child Support.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with energy or utility service(s)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On May 15, 2012, Claimant applied for SER assistance with energy and utility service.
2. On June 4, 2012, the Department advised Claimant that SER benefits were denied.
3. On June 4, 2012, the Department received Claimant's hearing request, protesting the SER denial.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. The Department's Emergency Relief Manual (ERM) 101 (2011), "Program Information and Policy Overview," states that SER benefits are appropriate when there exists "an emergency which threatens health or safety and can be resolved through issuance of SER." Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM) 101 (2011).

In this case, Claimant did not pay her rent in May and June, 2012. On or about July 1, she vacated the premises. Because Claimant left the premises, she changed her circumstances and the threat to health and safety ended. As no threat to health and safety continued, ERM 101 requires the Department to deny SER benefits to Claimant.

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied       improperly denied  
Claimant's SER application for assistance with energy and utility services.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did act properly.       did not act properly.



**Jan Leventer**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 5, 2013

Date Mailed: February 5, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

cc:

