STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

Reg. No.: 2012-57353

Issue No.: 1005

Case No.:

July 18, 2012 Hearing Date: Wayne (82-41) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 18, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ISSUE Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case for: ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:
	 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On July 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to complete the Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) online survey.
3.	On May 25, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On June 5, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, on April 10, 2012, Claimant agreed to complete the FAST survery within thirty days of receipt. On May 1, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Quick Note reminding her to complete the FAST survey. Claimant received the Quick Note, and afterwards spoke to the Department two or three times, assuring them she would complete it. At the hearing, Claimant testified she forgot to do it because she was extremely busy with relocating to Detroit, relocating twice in two weeks within the City of Detroit, and with the responsibility for her nine children. As of the hearing date, Claimant still had not completed the FAST survey.
It is found and determined that there is insufficient evidence to establish that Claimant cooperated with the Department, as it appears that her family priorities took precedence and caused her to give the FAST survey very little importance. Claimant testified that she forgot to do it, but at the same time, she remembered to call the Department and tell them on several occasions that she would do it. While Claimant may have had good intentions, her actions are insufficient to constitute cooperation.
In addition, BEM 228, "Family Automated Screening Tool and Family Self-Sufficiency Plan," states that the Claimant may have had good cause for her noncooperation. It is found and determined that forgetting to do something is not good cause for noncooperation. The Department is affirmed in this case.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECICION AND ODDED

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

	🗌 AMP 🔯 FIP 🔲 FAP 🔲 MA 🛭] CDC decision
is 🛛 AFFIRMED 🗌 REVERSE	D for the reasons stated on the	record.	

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 19, 2012

Date Mailed: July 19, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

cc: