#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2012-57304 2009; 4031

August 29, 2012 Tuscola

#### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admi nistrative Law Ju dge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing a telephone hearing was commenced on August 29, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

During the hearing, Claimant wa ived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of additional medical evidence. The new evidence was forwarded to the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) for consideration. On December 12, 2012, the SHRT found Claimant was not disabled. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

#### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department of Human Se rvices (the department) properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Ass istance (MA-P), Retro-MA and State Dis ability Assistance (SDA)?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On April 20, 2012, Claimant filed an application for MA-P/Retro-MA and SDA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On May 22, 2012, the Medical Re view Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA-P and Retro-MA indicating she was capable of

performing other work based on her non- exertional impairment. SDA was denied due to lack of duration. (Department Exhibit A, pp 8-9).

- (3) On May 29, 2012, the department ca seworker sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On June 4, 2012, Claimant file d a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On July 16, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled and retained the capacity to perform unskilled work. (Department Exhibit B, pp 1-2).
- (6) Claimant has a history of seve re depression, bipolar disorder, social anxiety, panic attacks, hypertension, tachycardia, gastroesophageal reflux disease and hyperlipidemia.
- (7) On Augus t 24, 2011, Claim ant was brought by ambulance to the emergency department for chest pains. She was admitted to the cardiac unit. The echocardiogram report reveal ed a mild degree of left ventricular hypertrophy with the left atrium somew hat enlarged. The left ventricular systolic function appeared good with an ejection fraction of 59%. The Doppler studies showed mild tricuspid regurgitation and mild concentric left ventricular hypertrophy. The right ventricle, aortic root, aortic valve, mitral valve, tricuspid valve a nd pulmonary valve appeared normal. (Department Exhibit A, pp 21-41).
- On February 24, 2012, Claiman t underwent an asses sment at behavioral (8) health by her psychiatrist. During the entire assessment, Claimant was frequently teary eved and stat ed that she often crie s all day. Fr om her reports, she has had severe depressi on since her parents died in the 1990's from cancer. A complicating factor is the anxiety and panic attacks when she is around people. She not ed that she has had issues with anxiety since school but that it has bec ome so severe that she prefers to isolate and that it affects her boyfri end as she does not want him to bring friends over to the apar tment. She is concerned her mental health symptoms are affecting her relationship with him as he blames himself for how she is feeling. Di agnostic impression: Axis I: Major D epressive Disorder, recurrent; Axis V: GAF=45. (Department Exhibit A, pp 106-108).
- (9) On April 23, 2012, Claimant under went a psychiatric examination on behalf of the department. Diagnosis: Ax is I: Major Depression, recurrent; Axis III: Hypertension, chest pains, left knee problems; Ax is V: GAF=45-50. According to her Mental Resi dual Functional Capacity Assessment, Claimant was markedly limited in her ability to understand and remember detailed instructions; carry out detailed instructions; maintain attention and

concentration for extended periods; perform activities within a schedule, maintain regular attendance, and to be punctual within c ustomary y rout ine without supervis ion; work in tolerances; sustain an ordinar coordination with or proximity to others without being distracted by them; complete a normal workday and wor ksheet without interrupti ons from psychologically bas ed symptoms and to perform at a consistent pace without an unreasonable nu mber and length of rest periods; interact appropriately with the general public; accept instructions an d r espond appropriately to criticism from supervisors; get along with co-workers or peers without distracting t hem or exhibiting behav ioral extremes; respond appropriately to change in the work setting; and to set realistic goals or make plans independently of others. (Department Exhibit A, pp 82-88).

- (10) On September 27, 2 012, Claimant underwent a psychological evaluation Claimant's contact with on behalf of the reality was good, but her se lf-esteem was poor. Her insight seemed fair. Her motivation was poor but she was able to functi on independently in most areas of her life. She did no t appear to exaggerate or minimize her symptoms. She had somatic complaints and sleep disturbance, which she managed with medic ation. She stated she felt ner vous and sad. Her affect was flat. The examining psychologist opined that Claimant was able to perform and remember concrete, repetitive and tangible tasks such as household chores and other activities of daily liv ing. She does not hav e though she reports struggling in any documented intellectual deficits school. She may have difficulty performing complex or multi-ste p tasks, making independent work related decis ions and engaging in abstract thinking and work t hat is not rout ine. Howev er, her symptoms of depression and anxie ty will inter fere with her ability to perform any job duty, simple or complex, on a c onsistent and reliable basi s. Further, she does not handle frustrating situat ions well and should not be expected to be able to cope with stress or difficult situations in the work setting. Her social sk ills are fair but she repor ted problems interact ing wit h others. Diagnosis: A xis I: M ajor Depressive Disorder, recurrent, severe; Panic Disorder; Alcohol Abuse in sustained remission; Axis III: Hypertension, left knee pain and body aches; Axis IV: Unemployed, Problems with primary support group; Axis V: GAF=42. Prognosis is poor. (Claimant's Exhibit A-C).
- (11) Claimant is a 46 year old woman whos e birt hday is Claimant is 5'9" tall and weighs 232 lbs. Claimant completed a h igh school equivalent education.
- (12) Claimant had applied for Social Secu rity disability benefits at the time of the hearing.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department, (DHS or department), pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Adminis trative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibilit y Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

... the inability to do any subs tantial gainful activity by reason of any medically dete rminable physical or mental impairment which c an be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905

The SDA program differs from the feder al MA regulations in that the durational requirement is 90 days. This means that the person's impairments must meet the SSI disability standards for 90 days in order for that person to be eligible for SDA benefits.

The person claiming a physica I or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, di agnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged, 20 CF R 416.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of the mselves, sufficient to estab lish disability. 20 CFR 416.908 a nd 20 CF R 416.929. By the same token, a conclus ory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not suffi cient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.929.

A set order is used to deter mine disability . Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c). If the impairment, or combination of impairments, do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not e xist. Age, education a nd work e xperience will not be c onsidered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laborator y findings which demons trate a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a).

Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of dis ease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing bas ic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv). Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include –

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class if y jobs as sedentary, lig ht, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is def ined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of wa lking and standing is often necess ary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walk ing or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg c ontrols. 20 CFR 416.967(b). Medium work involves lifting no more t han 50 pounds at a time wit h frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we det ermine that he or she can also do sedentar y and light work. 20 CFR 416. 967(c). Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying o f objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If som eone can do heavy work, we deter mine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm Substantial Gainful Activit y (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If

yes, the analys is c ontinues t o Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).

- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equi valent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 year s? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to t he guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Based on Finding of Fact #6-#11 above this Administrative Law Judge answers:

Step 1: No.

Step 2: Yes.

Step 3: Yes. Claimant has show n, by clear and convincing documentary evidenc e and credib le testimony, her mental impairments meet or equal Listing 12.04(A) and 12.04(B):

**12.04** *Affective disorders*: Characterized by a distur bance of mood, accompanied by a full or partial manic or depressive syndrome. Mood refers to a prolonged emotion that colors the whole psychic life; it generally involves either depression or elation.

The required level of severity for these disorders is met when the requirements in both A and B are satisfied, or when the requirements in C are satisfied.

A. Medically documented persist ence, either continuous or intermittent, of one of the following:

1. Depressive syndrome characterized by at least four of the following:

a. Anhedonia or per vasive los s of intere st in a Imost all activities; or

- b. Appetite disturbance with change in weight; or
- c. Sleep disturbance; or
- d. Psychomotor agitation or retardation; or
- e. Decreased energy; or
- f. Feelings of guilt or worthlessness; or
- g. Difficulty concentrating or thinking; or
- h. Thoughts of suicide; or
- i. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or

2. Manic s yndrome characterized by at least three of the following:

- a. Hyperactivity; or
- b. Pressure of speech; or
- c. Flight of ideas; or
- d. Inflated self-esteem; or
- e. Decreased need for sleep; or
- f. Easy distractibility; or

g. Involvement in activities that have a high probability of painful consequences which are not recognized; or

h. Hallucinations, delusions or paranoid thinking; or

3. Bipolar syndrome with a hi story of episodic periods manifested by the full symptomatic picture of both manic and depressive syndromes (and currently characterized by either or both syndromes);

AND

B. Resulting in at least two of the following:

- 1. Marked restriction of activities of daily living; or
- 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or

3. Marked difficulties in persistence, or pace; or

maintaining concentration,

4. Repeated episodes of decomp ensation, each of extended duration;

Accordingly, this Ad ministrative Law Judg e concludes that Claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA/Retro-MA and SDA progr ams. Consequently, the department's denial of her April 20, 2012, MA/Retro-MA and SDA application cannot be upheld.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA and SDA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The department shall process Cla imant's April 20, 2012, MA/Retro-MA and SDA application, and shall award her all the benefits she may be entitled to receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial a nd non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The department shall rev iew Claimant's medica I cond ition for improvement in January, 2015, unless her Social Se curity Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 7, 2013

Date Mailed: January 7, 2013

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### VLA/las

CC:

