STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.:
2012-57271

Issue No.:
2009

Case No.:
Image: Comparison of the second sec

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37 and Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 20, 2012, at Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department correctly determine that Claimant is not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA or Medicaid) programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 8, 2012, Claimant filed an application for MA benefits. The application also requested MA retroactive to November 1, 2011.
- 2. On May 23, 2012, the Department sent a Notice of Case Action to Claimant, denying the application.
- 3. On June 4, 2012, Claimant filed a request for an Administrative Hearing.
- 4. Claimant, age twenty-two has a tenth-grade education.

- 5. Claimant last worked part-time in 2008 as a worker in a dry cleaning establishment. Claimant's relevant work history consists exclusively of unskilled, light-exertional work activities.
- 6. Claimant has a history of bilateral knee pain, calcified patella, degenerative joint and disc disease, asthma and chronic kidney disease. His onset date is when he underwent left knee surgery.
- 7. Claimant was hospitalized in surgery, right knee surgery and chronic kidney disease. The discharge diagnoses were status post-surgery and Stage 2 chronic kidney disease.
- 8. Claimant currently suffers from bilateral knee pain, calcified patella, degenerative joint and disc disease, asthma and chronic kidney disease.
- 9. Claimant has severe limitations of his ability to sit, stand, and walk. Claimant's limitations have lasted or are expected to last twelve months or more.
- 10. Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning his impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all the objective medical evidence, as well as the whole record, reflect an individual who is so impaired as to be incapable of engaging in any substantial gainful activity on a regular and continuing basis.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

⊠ MA was established by Title XIX of the U.S. Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers MA pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Reference Tables (RFT).

SDA provides financial assistance for disabled persons and was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers SDA pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in BAM, BEM and RFT.

The Administrative Law Judge concludes and determines that Claimant **IS NOT DISABLED** for the following reason (select ONE):

1. Claimant is engaged in substantial gainful activity.

OR

2. Claimant's impairment(s) do not meet the severity and one-year duration requirements.

OR

3. Claimant is capable of performing previous relevant work.

OR

4. Claimant is capable of performing other work that is available in significant numbers in the national economy.

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant **IS DISABLED** for purposes of the MA program, for the following reason (select ONE):

1. Claimant's physical and/or mental impairment(s) meet a Federal SSI Listing of Impairment(s) or its equivalent.

State the Listing of Impairment(s): ______.

OR

2. Claimant is not capable of performing other work that is available in significant numbers in the national economy.

The following is an examination of Claimant's eligibility required by the federal Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). 20 CFR Ch. III, Secs. 416.905, 416.920. The State of Michigan is required to use the federal five-step eligibility test in evaluating applicants for Michigan's Medicaid disability program.

First, the claimant must not be engaged in substantial gainful activity. In this case, Claimant has not worked since 2008. Accordingly, it is found and determined that the first requirement of eligibility is fulfilled, and Claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity. Department Exhibit 1, p. 13.

Second, in order to be eligible for MA, the claimant's impairment must be sufficiently serious and be at least one year in duration. In this case, Claimant's onset date is , when he had left knee surgery. The had right knee surgery and, the was diagnosed with Stage 2 chronic kidney disease. Claimant is not in treatment for his knees, his rotator cuff impairment, or for kidney disease at this time.

The sole medical document in evidence is a DHS-49 Form, Medical Examination Report, which lists fatigue, pain, tenderness, low body weight, and calcification of kneecaps. Claimant testified that the report was generated after an examination by a nurse practitioner and he did not see a physician at that time. He was seen twice at the facility. It is found and determined that the medical evidence of record is insufficient to establish the severity and duration of any of Claimant's impairments.

In conclusion, based on the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law above, Claimant is found to be

NOT DISABLED DISABLED

for purposes of the MA program. The Department's denial of MA benefits to Claimant is

REVERSED

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, and for the reasons stated on the record finds that Claimant

 \boxtimes DOES NOT MEET \square MEETS

the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance programs.

The Department's decision is

AFFIRMED

Ja

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 28, 2012

Date Mailed: August 28, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

2012-57271/JL

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

