# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201257169

Issue No.: 3000

Case No.: Hearing Date:

July 5, 2012

County: Wayne DHS (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

### SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 5, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Manager.

# **ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit eligibility effective 6/2012.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On 5/24/12, the Department:
	☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2	On 6/1/12 the Department cent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorize

2. On 6/1/12, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:

	denial
	closure
$\boxtimes$	reduction.

3. On 6/1/12, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2). In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a FAP benefit redetermination beginning 6/2012. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to recalculate Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility effective 6/2012 based on medical expenses presented to DHS at the hearing; DHS conceded that the medical expenses were previously submitted by Claimant. The medical expenses included a \$48/month insurance premium and unspecified prescription drug costs. Claimant agreed that DHS properly did not factor housing expenses into the 6/2012 FAP benefit calculation because Claimant did not timely verify the expense. As the agreement appears to comply with DHS regulations, the settlement among the parties shall be accepted.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

## THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. redetermine Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility effective 6/2012 based on previously submitted medical expense verification; and
- 2. supplement Claimant for any FAP benefits not received as a result of the updated

FAP benefit determination.

Christian Gardocki
Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 11, 2012

Date Mailed: July 11, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG / hw

