# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201257044 Issue No.: 2006 3008 Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 11, 2012 County: Wayne DHS (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 11, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above named claimant; testified and appeared as Claimant's AHR and translator. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included , Specialist.

# ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) benefit eligibility due to a failure by Claimant to verify employment income.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 4/17/12, Claimant applied for FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. On 4/23/12, DHS mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) requesting proof of Claimant's spouse's employment income.
- 3. The due date to return the verifications was 5/3/12.
- 4. Claimant failed to return verification of the employment income to DHS.

- 5. On 5/9/12, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying Claimant's application for FAP and MA benefits, in part, due to Claimant's failure to verify his spouse's income.
- 6. On 5/31/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the denial of FAP and MA benefits.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, et seq., and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001-3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Updates to DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Policy Bulletin (BPB).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The present case involves a denial of FAP benefits. Claimant also requested a hearing concerning MA benefits though the Notice of Case Action tied to Claimant's hearing request did not address MA benefits; this suggests that Claimant did not apply for MA benefits when he applied for FAP benefits. For purposes of this decision, it will be presumed that Claimant applied for FAP and MA benefits on 4/17/12.

A request for program benefits begins with the filing of a DHS-1171 or other acceptable form. BAM 110 at 1. Before processing an application, DHS may require a client to verify information within their application. Verification is usually required at application. BAM 130 at 1. DHS must give clients at least ten days to submit verifications. *Id.* Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. *Id.* DHS must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. *Id.* at 2. DHS is to use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist to request verification. *Id.* at 3.

For FAP benefits, DHS is to send a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130 at 5. For MA benefits, DHS is to send a case action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed. *Id.* at 6.

It was not disputed that DHS requested verification of Claimant's spouse's employment on 4/23/12. It was not disputed that Claimant was given a due date of 5/3/12 to verify his spouse's employment income. It was not disputed that DHS denied the application for FAP and MA benefits on 5/9/12. Claimant conceded that he did not submit verification to DHS of his spouse's income. It is found that Claimant failed to verify his spouse's employment income and accordingly, that DHS properly denied Claimant's application dated 4/17/12 requesting FAP and MA benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly denied Claimant's application dated 4/17/12 for FAP and MA benefits due to a failure to verify employment income. The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Christin Dardock

Date Signed: July 13, 2012

Date Mailed: July 13, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# CG/hw

