

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201256965  
Issue No.: 3000  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 9, 2012  
County: Wayne DHS (19)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**SETTLEMENT ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 9, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Manager.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit eligibility due to an alleged failure to verify assets.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On an unspecified date, DHS requested verification of unspecified assets of Claimant's.
2. On an unspecified date, Claimant timely submitted verification of assets to DHS.
3. On 5/22/12, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice Case Action informing Claimant of a termination of FAP benefit eligibility effective 7/2011 due to an alleged failure by Claimant to verify assets.
4. On 5/31/22, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP benefit termination.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, *et seq.*, and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001-3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Updates to DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Policy Bulletin (BPB).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2). In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a termination of FAP benefits due to an alleged failure by Claimant to verify assets. DHS conceded that Claimant timely verified the assets and that the termination of FAP benefits should not have occurred. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. DHS proposed to reinstate Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility effective 7/2012 subject to the finding that Claimant verified assets; Claimant accepted the DHS proposal. As the agreement appears to comply with DHS regulations, the settlement among the parties shall be accepted.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. reinstate Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility effective 7/2012 subject to the agreement that Claimant timely verified assets; and
2. supplement Claimant for any FAP benefits not received as a result of the improper FAP benefit termination.



---

Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 13, 2012

Date Mailed: July 13, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG /hw

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the names and contact information of the recipients listed under the 'cc:' field.