# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

# IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201256648

Issue No.: 2026

Case No.:
Hearing Date: August 22, 2012

County: Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 22, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her husband. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

## <u>ISSUE</u>

| Did the Department properly 🗵 calculate Claimant's deductible for:   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Medical Assistance (MA)?   |  |  |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT   |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact: |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☑ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>   | <ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li></ul> |  |  |

| 2.                    | On June 1, 2012, the Department<br>⊠ instituted a deductible on Claimant's MA case due to excess income.   |
|-----------------------|--|
| 3.                    | On June 12, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the deductible.   |
| 4.                    | On May 25, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ amount of the deductible.  |
|                       | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
|                       | epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).  |
| Re<br>42<br>Ag<br>thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996. |
| pro<br>im<br>Re<br>Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.                 |
| Se<br>Th<br>Ag        | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.  |
|                       | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.   |
| for<br>Se<br>pro      | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.   |
|                       | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of  |

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

| stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department  |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| properly determined the amount of Claimant's deductible   |   |  |
| for:  |   |  |
| DECISION AND ORDER  |   |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. |   |  |
| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.  |   |  |
|   | Christopher S. Saunders<br>Administrative Law Judge<br>or Maura Corrigan, Director<br>artment of Human Services |  |

Date Signed: August 24, 2012

Date Mailed: August 24, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CSS/cr

