STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-56436

Issue No.: 6019

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 18, 2012 County: Macomb (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Clinton T ownship, Michigan on Wednesday, July 18, 2012. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es ("Department") was

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly terminated the Claimant's Child Development and Care ("CDC") benefits for the period from April 7th through May 6, 2012?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is a CDC recipient.
- 2. On April 3, 2012, the Department sent a Verification Checkliset to the Claimant requesting paystubs of a household member to be submitted by April 13, 2012.
- 3. On April 13, 2012, the CI aimant submitted paystubs from March 2012 ins tead of February 2012 as requested.
- 4. On April 17, 2012, the Department pended the Claimant's case for closure based on the failure to submit the requested verifications effective April 7, 2012.
- 5. On May 24, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care ("CDC") program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Sec urity Act, the Child Ca re and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Res ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implement ed by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and childr en pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.5001 through 400.5015.

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2)

In this case, the Department acknowledged receipt of the requested February paystubs and agree d to determine the Cla imant's CDC el igibility for the period from April 7 through May 6, 2012. The Claim ant was amenable to this resolution. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's action is not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall, as agreed, determine the Claimant's CDC eligibility for the period from April 7, 2012 through May 6, 2012 , in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall not ify the Claimant of the det ermination in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. The Department shall supplement for lo st benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receiv e if otherwise el igible and qualified in accordance with Department policy.

Colleen M. Mamelka

Colleen M. Mamelka
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 23, 2012

Date Mailed: July 23, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

