STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Case	l	Docket No. 201 No.	2-56327 EDW
Appellant				
DECISION AND ORDER				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following the Appellant's request for a hearing.				
After due notice, a hearing was held or the Appellant The				
Data Supports Supervisor, appeared on behalf of Home Health Care, Inc., the Department's MI Choice Program Waiver Agency (Waiver Agency or A&D). Waiver Case Manager and appeared as witnesses for the Waiver Agency.				
ISSUE				
Did the Waiver Agency properly determine that the Appelant was not eligible for the MI Choice Waiver program following eligibility assessment?				
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. The	Appellant is a	borr		(Exhibit 2, p 2)
2. The	Appellant has a sho	ort arm and difficulty v	valking. (Testir	nony)
3. The	Appellant lives alor	ne and has limited info	ormal supports.	(Testimony)
	Appellant was acce	epted into the MI Choic	e Waiver Progr	am as a nursing
5. On	the W	aiver Agency reasses	ssed Appellant	in his home for

participation in the MI Choice Waiv er Program, but he was found to be medically ineligible. The Waiver Agency also made an NFLOCD Exception Request to MPRO on Appellant's behalf, but MPRO denied the exception. Appellant was then notified that he did not meet the eligib ility criteria for continued participation in the MI Choi ce Waiver program. (Exhibit 1, Testimony)

6. The Appellant's request for a formal, administrative hearing was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System or (Exhibit 2). In his request for hearing, Appellant stated, "My services from A&D are being terminated. I still need the services." (Exhibit 2)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program isestablished pursuant to TitleXIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

This Appellant is claiming eligibility for services through the Department's Home and Community Based Services for Elderly and Disabed (HCBS/ED). The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is fundedthrough the federal Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services to the Michigan Depar tment of Community Health (Department). Regional agencies, in this case Home Health Care, Inc., function as the Department's administrative agency.

Waivers are intended to provide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services, or to adapt their programs to the special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to State plan requirements and permit a State to implem ent innovative programs or activities on a time-limited bas is, and subject to specific safeguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G opart 441 of this chapter. 42 CFR 430.25(b)

1915(c) (42 USC 1396n (c) allows home and communitybased services tobe classified as "medical assistance" under the State Plan when furnished to recipients who would otherwise need inpatient care that is furn ished in a hospital SNF, ICF or ICF/MR and is reimbursable under the State Plan. (42 CFR 430.25(b))

the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) implemented revised functional/medical eligibility criteria for Medicaid nursing facility, MI Choice, and PACE services. Federal regulations require that Medicaid pay for services only for those beneficiaries who meet specified level of care criteria.

Section 4.1 of the Medicaid Povider Manual Nursing Facilities Section references the use of an online Michigan Medicaid Nursing Fadity Level of Care Determination tool (*Michigan Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination, March 7, 2005, Pages 1 – 9* or LOC). The LOC must be completed for a II Medicaid-reimbursed admissions to nursing facilities or enrollments in MI Choice or PACE on and after

The Level of Care Assessment Tool consists of seven-service entry Doors. The Doors are: Activities of Daily Living, Cognition, Ph ysician Involvement, Treatments and Conditions, Skilled Rehabilitative Therapies, Behavior, or Service Dependency. In order to be found eligible for MI Choice Waiver services, the Appleant must meet the requirements of at least one Door. The Waiver Agency presented testimony and documentary evidence that the Appellant did not meet any of the criteria for Doors 1 through 7.

Door 1 Activities of Daily Living (ADLs)

Scoring Door 1: The applicant must score at least six points to qualify under Door 1.

- (A) Bed Mobility, (B) Transfers, and (C) Toilet Use:
- Independent or Supervision = 1
- Limited Assistance = 3
- Extensive Assistance or Total Dependence = 4
- Activity Did Not Occur = 8
- (D) Eating:
- Independent or Supervision = 1
- Limited Assistance = 2
- Extensive Assistance or Total Dependence = 3
- Activity Did Not Occur = 8

Waiver Case Manager, testified that Appellant reported being independent in bed mobility, transfers, toilet use, and eating. As such, the Appellant does not qualify under Door 1.

Door 2 Cognitive Performance

Scoring Door 2: The applicant must score under one of the following three options to qualify under Door 2.

- 1. "Severely Impaired" in Decision Making.
- 2. "Yes" for Memory Problem, and Decsion Making is "Moderately Impaired" or "Severely Impaired."
- 3. "Yes" for Memory Problem, and Ma king Self Understood is "Sometimes Understood" or "Rarely/Never Understood."

estified that Appellant did have some cognitive impairment, but that it was not severe or moderate. As such, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 2.

Door 3 Physician Involvement

Scoring Door 3: The applicant must meet either of the following to qualify under Door 3

- At least one Physician Visit exam AND at least four Physicians Order changes in the last 14 days, OR
- 2. At least two Physician Visit exams AND at least two Physicians Order changes in the last 14 days.

The Appellant reported no physician's visit within the 14-day period leading up to the LOC Determination. As such, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 3.

Door 4 Treatments and Conditions

Scoring Door 4: The applicant must score "y es" in at least one of the nine categories above and have a continuing need to qualify under Door 4.

In order to qualify under Door 4 the applic ant must receive, within 14 days of the assessment date, any of the following health treatments or demon strated any of the following health conditions:

- A. Stage 3-4 pressure sores
- B. Intravenous or parenteral feedings
- C. Intravenous medications
- D. End-stage care
- E. Daily tracheostomy care, daily respiratory care, daily suctioning
- F. Pneumonia within the last 14 days
- G. Daily oxygen therapy
- H. Daily insulin with two order changes in last 14 days
- I. Peritoneal or hemodialysis

The Appellant did not meet any of the criteria listed for Door 4 at the time of the LOC Determination. Accordingly, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 4.

<u>Door 5</u> Skilled Rehabilitation Therapies

Scoring Door 5: The applicant must have required at least 45 minutes of active ST, OT or PT (scheduled or delivered) in the last 7 days and continues to require skilled rehabilitation therapies to qualify under Door 5.

Appellant had not received any speech, occupational, or physical therapy within seven days of the LOC Determination. Accordingly, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 5.

Door 6 Behavior

Scoring Door 6: The applicant must score under one of the following 2 options to qualify under Door 6.

- 1. A "Yes" for either delusions or hallucinations within the last 7 days.
- The applicant must have exhibited any one of the following behaviors for at least 4 of the last 7 days (including daily): Wandering, Verbally Abusive, Physically Abusive, Socially Inappropriate/Disruptive, or Resisted Care.

No evidence was presented indicating that the Appellant had any delusions, hallucinations, or any of the specified behaviors within severdays of the LOC Determination. Accordingly, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 6.

Door 7 Service Dependency

Scoring Door 7: The applic ant must be a current participant and demonstrate service dependency under Door 7.

The LOC Determination provides that the Appellant could qualify under Door 7 if he is currently (and has been a participant for at leasone (1) year) being served by ether the MI Choice Program, PACE program, or Medicaid rembursed nursing facility, requires ongoing services to maintain current functional status, and no other community, residential, or informal services are available to meet the applicant's needs.

Here, Appellant had not been a participant in the MI Choice Program for at least one year and, while he does need some assistance to ma intain his current functional status,

Appellant is eligible to receive the same services through the Commission on Aging care management program.

Based on the information at the time of the LCC determination, the Appellant did not meet the Medicaid nursing facility level of care cr iteria. While the Appellant does need some assistance putting his pants on and is at risk for faing, he is able to receive assistance with these areas through the Commission on Aging care management program. Accordingly, the Waiver Agency properly determined that the Appellant was not eligible for MI Choice Waiver services.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the abovefindings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Waiver Agency properly determined that the Appellant was not eligible for MI Choice Waiver services.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Robert J. Meade

Administrative Law Judge
for Olga Dazzo, Director

Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

Date Mailed: 6/20/2012

Hebbener, Thomas Docket No. 2012-56327 EDW Decision and Order

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health will not order a rehearing on the Department's motionwhere the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.