STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES		
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-55456 2021 September 20, 2012 Oakland (63-03)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 20, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to excess assets, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:		
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).

Due to excess assets, on June 1, 2012, the Department
 ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.

3. On May 3, 2012, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ⊠ closure.
 On May 11, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Persona Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3107 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCI 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
Additionally, the BEM 400, "Assets," states that in order to be eligible for MA benefits an individual cannot have more than \$2,000 in assets. BEM 400 (2012), p. 5. In Apri 2012, Claimant had over \$3,000 in her savings account. Therefore, the Departmen acted correctly in terminating Claimant's MA benefits in this case.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP MA SDA.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
□ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 24, 2012

Date Mailed: September 24, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-55456/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

