

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 201255449  
Issue No: 3008, 2006  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 3, 2012  
Wayne County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christopher S. Saunders

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 3, 2012. The claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. The claimant appeared with his authorized representative, [REDACTED], who also provided testimony.

**ISSUES**

Whether the department properly denied the claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and Medical Assistance (MA) benefits due to failure to submit the requested verifications?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant submitted an application on April 11, 2012 for FAP and MA benefits.
2. The department requested that the claimant provide documentation of his citizenship in order to proceed with the application.
3. The department did not receive the required documentation of the claimant's citizenship.
4. The claimant's application for FAP and MA benefits was then denied on April 11, 2012. (Department Exhibit 3).
5. The claimant filed a hearing request on May 24, 2012, protesting the denial of his FAP and MA applications.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1)

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affective eligibility for benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program was established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essential health care services are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistance (MA).

In the case at hand, the department representative testified that due to a glitch in the system, the claimant had three different workers. The claimant also submitted several different applications and received various requests for verifications. The end result is that there was confusion as to what verifications were submitted, what programs were applied for, and when those programs were applied for. The department representative testified that the department was willing to re-process the April 11, 2012 application, allow the claimant to submit any necessary documentation, and to determine eligibility. The claimant's authorized representative testified that this was an appropriate course of action to be taken in this matter.

MCL 24.278(2) provides a disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. In the case at hand, the department representative testified that the department was willing to allow the claimant to submit any necessary information and re-process the claimant's April 11, 2012 application for benefits. The claimant agreed with this course of action. Therefore, the parties agree as to what the proper course of action to be taken in this matter should be. Because both parties agree as to what action should be taken to resolve the issue, this action may be disposed of by stipulation.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department did not properly deny the claimant's April 11, 2012 application for FAP and MA benefits.

Accordingly, the department's actions are **REVERSED**.

It is HEREBY ORDERED that the department shall allow the claimant to submit any additional information necessary and reprocess the claimant's April 11, 2012 application. The department shall re-determine eligibility for the programs the claimant applied for on that application. If the claimant is found to be otherwise eligible, the department shall issue benefits in accordance with policy and, if applicable, issue any past due benefits due and owing that the claimant is otherwise eligible to receive.

/s/

Christopher S. Saunders  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 10, 2012


Date Mailed: July 10, 2012

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CSS/cr

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covering several lines of text in the distribution list.