STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

(41)

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 55115 3008 June 25, 2012 Wayne County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Feri	ris	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on June 25, 2012, behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Part Human Services (Department) included Supervisor.	st for a hearing. from Detroit, Michiq ticipants on behalf o	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verificat properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ clos benefits for:	•	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimo		•
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☑ was receiving: ☐FIP ☑FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.		
2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by February 1, 2012 .		
 On March 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. 		

201255115/ LMF

☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits .
 4. On February 1, 2012, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case (Notice of Missed Appointment). ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
 5. On 5/25/12, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.31073131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS 400.3001-3015
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MC 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IV and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1990. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 9

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Claimant testified credibly that she lived in a large 9 story building, had had numerous problems with her mail and did not receive the redetermination packet. The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In the instant matter it is determined that the Claimant did not receive the properly addressed redetermination packet because of problems receiving her mail, and thus has rebutted the presumption that the redetermination packet was received. Under these circumstances the Department improperly closed the Claimant's FAP case and must reinstate the Claimant's FAP case.

must reinstate the Claimant's FAP case.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 The Department shall reopen and reinstate the Claimant's FAP case retroactive to the date of closure, March 1, 2012, and shall complete the redetermination and determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits. The Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for any FAP benefits the Claimant is otherwise entitled to receive, if any, in accordance with Department
policy. M. Serris
Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 2, 2012

Date Mailed: July 2, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

