# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-55088 Issue No.: 2009; 4031

Case No.: Hearing Date:

August 15, 2012

County: Berrien

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is befor e the undersigned Administrative Law J udge upon the Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which govern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on August 15, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of H uman Services (Department) included Ass istant Payment Supervisor

During the hearing, Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submissi on of additional medical evidence. The new evidence was forwarded to the St ate Hearing Review Team (SHRT) for consideration. On September 21, 2012, the SHRT found Claimant was disabled.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the department pr operly determine Claimant's dis ability status for Medicaid (MA)/Retro-MA and State Disability Assistance (SDA) eligibility purposes?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, bas ed upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On March 2, 2012, Claimant applied for MA/Retro-MA and SDA.
- 2. On May 7, 2012, the department's State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) is sued a prehearing denial of Claimant's application indicating Claimant retained the capacity to perform other work.
- Claimant promptly requested a hearing, at which, the presiding Administrative Law Judge granted Claimant's request for a record extension to submit updated examination and treatment documents.

- 4. These doc uments were submitted to SHRT for a post-hearing review.
- On September 21, 2012, SHRT reversed its earlier denial of Claimant's disputed MA/Retro -MA/SDA application as of December, 2011.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is es tablished by Title XI X of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400. 105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administra tive Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

In the present case, SHRT reversed its ear lier finding of lack of d isability based on additional medical evidence reviewed for the first time after the hearing. This new medical evidence establishes that Cla imant is currently disabled, and has been disabled at all times relevant to her March 2, 2012, MA/Retro-MA/SDA application.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the departm ent, through SHRT, properly determined Claimant's disability status upon consideration of additional medical evidence reviewed for the first time after the hearing.

Accordingly, SHRT 's decis ion is **AFFIRMED** and Claimant's disputed MA/Retro-MA/SDA application shall be processed with benefits awarded retroactive to Dec ember, 2011, as long as Claimant meets all of the other financial and non-financial requirements necessary to receive them. Additionally, the local office shall initiate an MA review by September, 2013, to determine Claimant's eligibility for continued MA/Retro-MA and SDA.

It is **SO ORDERED**.

/s/

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 24, 2012

Date Mailed: September 25, 2012

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decisi on and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### VLA/las

