## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

(43)

IN	TH	IE I	MAI	ΓΤΕ	R (	DF:
----	----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 54861 3008 August 13, 2012 Wayne County DHS			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Fei	rris				
HEARING DE	CISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on August 13, 2012 behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and Representative, Participants Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. 2, from Detroit, Michi and the Claimant's on behalf of the De	After due notice, a gan. Participants on			
ISSUE					
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
Family Independence Program (FIP)?  Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS O	F FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:					
<ol> <li>Claimant ⊠ applied for □ was receiving: [</li> </ol>	□FIP ⊠FAP □MA	□SDA □CDC.			
2. Claimant was required to submit requested	verification by May 1	4, 2012.			
<ol> <li>On May 17, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application.</li> </ol>					

## 201254861/LMF

	ed Claimant's case. ced Claimant's benefits .
⊠ denia □ closu	17, 2012, the Department sent notice of the all of Claimant's application.  The of Claimant's case.  The of Claimant's benefits.
⊠ denia □ closu	17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the all of claimant's application.  are of Claimant's case.  ction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges anual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsibil 42 USC 60 <sup>-</sup> Agency) ad	nily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ity and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 1, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective 1996.
program] is implemente Regulations	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is d by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence dministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R015
Security Ac The Depart	dical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social t and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). ment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disabled as the Fam	te Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known lily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and XX of 1990, and t	Id Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, in this case the Claimant did not return the verification of his bank account statement by the Verification Checklist due date. The Verification Checklist was sent to the Claimant at the correct address and both the Claimant and the Claimant's AHR testified that they did not have problems with mail delivery. Under these circumstances the Department properly denied the application, as it could not verify the Claimant's assets. It is noted that the Claimant does have mental health problems which may have played a part in the verification checklist not being returned, however the Department, based on the information it had at the time did not improperly deny the application. The Claimant's AHR also lives with the Claimant and was urged to assist the Claimant in reapplying for food assistance and to assist the Claimant in responding to verification requests by the Department. BAM 130.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
Lynn M. Ferris

Date Signed: August 16, 2012

Date Mailed: August 16, 2012

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/hw



