## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-54707 2001 August 2, 2012 Wayne (35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J.	Bennane	
HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requestelephone hearing was held on August 2, 2012 behalf of Claimant included claimant. Partic Human Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. Afte 2, fr om Detroit, Michi	er due notice, a gan.  Participants on
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>	
Did the Departm ent properly 🔀 deny Claima for:	n t's application 🔲 cl	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS (	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia	he competent, materi al fact:	ial, and substantial
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ receive	ed benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

of	On May 16, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to AMP being closed to new enrollees and the claimant's not meeting the criteria being disabled, aged or having a dependent child in his home, for regular MA. (BEM 5).				
3.	On April 20, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.				
4.	On May 14, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.				
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.				
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .				
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through alle 400.3180.				

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, the claim ant does not meet the criteria f or regular MA; he is not aged, disabled, nor does he have a dependent minor child in his group. (BEM 105). The department did not determine eligibility for AMP because this program is now closed for new enrollees.				
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
<ul> <li>         □ properly denied Claimant's application         □ properly closed Claimant's case         □ improperly closed Claimant's case</li></ul>				
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's $\boxtimes$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				
Date Signed: August 20, 2012				
Date Mailed: August 20, 2012				
<b>NOTICE</b> : Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or				

implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

ion cannot be

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

