## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-54692 4008 September 12, 2012 Wayne (82-41)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 12, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included .				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received be	enefits for:			

☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).

☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On May 1, 2012, the Department   denied Claimant's application   due to the opening of a FIP case.
3.	On April 19, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On May 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			
Additionally, Claimant was receiving SDA benefits until May 1, 2012. On April 19, 2012, due to a circuit court decision, Claimant's FIP benefits were reinstated after being closed in October 2011. Also due to the court decision, Claimant was paid back FIP benefits to account for the missed FIP months. Claimant's reinstated FIP benefits were effective May 1, 2012. Claimant has received all FIP benefits she is entitled to receive under law.			
Claimant appeared to be arguing that she should have received SDA benefits as well, and that her SDA benefits should not have closed. A benefit recipient cannot receive both SDA and FIP benefits, as they are both cash programs. BEM 209, pg. 1 (2011). Furthermore, the FIP program provides greater benefits than the SDA program and must be considered first. BEM 209.			
Therefore, as Claimant is eligible for FIP benefits, and received all FIP benefits in question, the Department was correct to close Claimant's SDA case.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department			
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case			
for:			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly.}  \text{did not act properly.}			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
Robert J. Chavez  Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services			

Date Signed: September 21, 2012

Date Mailed: September 21, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## RJC/pf

