STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF: | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2012-5446 3021 November 23, 2011 Wayne (82-17) |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer | | |
| HEARING DECIS | SION | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 23, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> Due to excess assets, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for: | | |
| Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? | | Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? |
| FINDINGS OF FACT | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, including the testing fact: | • | |
| 1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received be | enefits for: | |
| ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA). | | Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). |

| 2. | Due to excess assets, on November 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. |
|------------------------|--|
| 3. | On October 12, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. |
| 4. | On October 12, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| | partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| pro imp Re Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is oblemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015. |
| | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. |
| Re 42 Ag thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996. |
| Se Th | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. |
| for as | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. |
| | ditionally, it is found and determined that Claimant's asset has a retail or fair market ue over \$5,000. |

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department |
|--|
| properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case |
| for: 🖂 FAP 🗌 FIP 🗌 MA 🔲 SDA. |
| DECISION AND ORDER |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly. |
| Accordingly, the Department's ⊠ FAP ☐ FIP ☐ MA ☐ SDA decision is ☑ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. |
| ☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: |
| Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director |
| Department of Human Services Date Signed: November 30, 2011 |

Date Mailed: November 30, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

