STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 54304 1005, 1038 January 3, 2013 Wayne County DHS (18)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Fer	ris					
HEARING DE	CISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim — ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 3, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Se rvices (De partment) include d						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimo		rial, and substantia I ds as material fact:				
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☒	JFIP □FAP □MA	□SDA □CDC.				
 Claimant was required to submit a medica attending the Work First program by May 9, 	_	ng a deferral from				

	On June 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☑ closed Claimant's case for fai lure to attend the Work First program as as signed d imposed a three month first sanction for failure to attend without good cause. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
4.	On May 9, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On May 21, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence elency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 20 04 PA 344. The Depar tment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seg., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fe deral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.				
Additionally, in this c ase the Claimant did not attend the Work First program as assigned on March 19, 2012 and was sent a Notice of Noncompliance scheduling a triage for April 10, 2012. The Claimant did not attend the triage and after advising her caseworker that she was requesting a deferral from attending the Work First program, was sent a medical needs pack et to be completed by her doctor on April 5, 2012. The Claimant was given two extensions to provide the information, as the Department initially had closed her medical assistance and reinstated it on April 23, 2012. The Department did not receive the medical needs information it requested before the case closure on June 1, 2012 after notice of case action was sent on May 9, 2012. On December 12, 2012, the Department had not received a doct or's note undated via facsimile. Prior to this date the Department had not received any medical deferral information. Claimant Exhibit 1.				
After a thorough review of the sworn testimony of the parties and the admitted exhibit s including Claimant Exhibit 1, it is determined that the Claim and did not provide the requested medical deferral information to the Department as requested in a timely manner and thus did not present a good cause bas is for her non-participation in the Work First program. Department of Human Service Bridges Eligibility Manual, BEM 233A, (October 2012). Based upon this determination it is determined that the Department properly closed the Claimant's FIP case due to failure to attend the Work First program without good cause and properly imposed a three month sanction in accordance with Department policy.				
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly				
 ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits. 				
DECISION AND ORDER				

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ AFFIRMED	☐ REVERSED for the
reasons stated on the record.		

Lynn M. Ferris`
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 10, 2013

Date Mailed: January 10, 2013

LMF/cl

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

2012-54304/LMF

