STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 IN THE MATTER OF:
 Reg. No:
 2012-54077

 Issue No:
 2009; 4031

Case No:

Hearing Date: November 21, 2012

Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on November 21, 2012. Claimant appeared and provide d testimony on her behalf. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included and and the control of the Department of Human Services.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Cla imant's MA-P/SDA application on Dece mber 13, 2011 was denied on February 27, 2012, per BEM 260/ 261, with a hearing request on May 15, 2012.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age a high school education, and history of semi-skilled administrative/office worker and skilled work by taking incoming orders over the phone and processing them into a computer. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg.7).
- Last employment ended 2002 due to illness.
- Claimant alleges disability due to medically diagnosed dis orders of autoimmune disorder and right vision problems (Minimal) (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 1).
- 5. Medical reports of record state the Claimant on:

- a. April 20, 2011: Her s trength is 5/5 in all extremities; and that s he has normal reflexes and gait. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 23).
- b. November 1, 2011: Has done fa irly well from an infectious standpoint. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 16).
- c. December 1, 2011: Has a minimal fall risk gait. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 14).
- d. November 14, 2012: Has limited vision in right eye; that her contact was removed, but her vision remains limited.
- 6. State Hear ing Review Team deci sion dat ed June 11, 2012 st ates the Claimant's impairments do not met/equal a Soc ial Sec urity listing (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 82).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridge es Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point

in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the client's s ymptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1, dis ability is not denied. The ev idence of rec ord established the Claimant ha s not been engaged in substantial gainful activities since 2002.

Step 2, disability is not denied. The medic all evidence of record, on date of application, does establish the Claimant's significant functional physical incapacity, based on the *de minimus* standard, to perform basic work activities for the required one year continuous duration, as defined below.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled.

We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, coworkers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

SEVERE IMPAIRMENT

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gainful work and the duration criteria (20 CFR 416.920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultima tely favorable dis ability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish disability in accordance with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you sav that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical sources about your impai rments are an M.D. or D.O. or fully licensed psychologist. Medical reports would include:

- Your ability to do work-re lated activities such as sitting, standing, moving ab out, lifting, carrying, handling objects, hearing, speaking, and traveling.
- In cases of mental impairments, your ability to reason or make occupational, personal, or social adjustments. ...20 CFR 416.913(a)(c)(1) and (2).

The claimant testified that her right eye dis order is a minimal impairment; that she ha s disabling inflammation of the intestines, bl adder, and muscles causing intermittent pain; that she is unable to lift/carry weight on bad days, otherwise on good days she would be able to lift/carry two gallons of milk.

The medical opinion of record states that the claimant has a significant disability and has been unable to work.

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

The medical opinion in November, 2012 states the claimant has a significant disability and has been unable to work (Findings of Facts #5d).

This conclusion is not supported by the objective medical evidence of record in April, November and December, 2011 (Findings of Facts #5a, b & c).

The medical evidence states the claimant's musculoskeletal is 5/5 in all extremities; that she has **normal** refl exes and gait, that she is doing fairly well; that pain/discomfort rating in a range of 0-10 is 2; and that she has a **minimal** (non-severe) fall risk and steady gait. There is nothing in these three reports that support the medical conclusion. Therefore, this ALJ does not give much evidentiary weight to the medical conclusion.

The medic al reports of record are exami nation, diagnostic, treatment and progres s reports. They do not provide medical asses sments of Claimant's basic work limitations for the required duration.

The claimant admitted that her right eye impairment is **minimally** severe (not severe).

Therefore, the claimant has sustained her burden of proof to establish a severe physical impairment, instead of a non-seve re impairment for the require d duration. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

Step 3 dis ability is denied. The medical evidenc e of record for the required duration, does not establish claimant's impairments meet/equal a Social Security listing. Therefore, the sequential evaluation continues to the next step.

Step 4 disability is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the claimant's functional physical incapacity, despite her impairments, to perform any of her past work, such as an administrative/clerical sedentary office work.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop.

The department's Bridges eligibility manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for case workers regarding the State Disability Assistance (SDA) program: to receive SDA, a person must be disabled, care for a disabled person or age be age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, Pg. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that the claimant is unable to work for a per liod exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for SDA benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Steps 3 & 4 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD**.

William A. Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura D. Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 17, 2013

Date Mailed: April 17, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

2012-54077/WAS

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/jk

cc: