STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	2012-53710
Issue No:	2009

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the second seco

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On _____, claimant applied for MA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
- 2. Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- 3. On the MRT denied.
- 4. On the DHS issued notice.
- 5. On claimant filed a hearing request.
- 6. Claimant testified at the administrative hearing that he has a disability application pending with the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. On the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant. Pursuant to the claimant's request to hold the record open for

the submission of new and additional medical documentation, on SHRT once again denied claimant.

- 8. As of the date of hearing, claimant was standing 5'11" tall and weighing 192 pounds. Claimant has a high school education.
- Claimant testified that he smokes about 5 7 cigarettes per day, but is in the process of quitting. Claimant testified that he is currently in a rehabilitation program for drug and alcohol abuse and as of the date of hearing was
- 10. Claimant testified he does not have a driver's license.
- 11. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in loading/unloading semi-trailers. Claimant has also performed motel maintenance and all types of housing construction.
- 12. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), bipolar disorder and arthritis.
- 13. A mental health initial assessment by found the claimant presented as bipolar, actively manic, without psychotic features. Claimant also presented with PTSD.
- 14. A **example to a construction** x-ray of the hips showed advanced osteoarthritic changed in the left hip joint with narrowing of the joint, especially superiorly. There was moderate hypertrophic spur formation in the femoral head with relative flattening. The right hip showed moderate degenerative change with flattening of the lateral aspect of the femoral head with spur formation.
- 15. A x-ray of the lumbosacral spine found trivial retrolisthesis at L3 4. There was mild intervertebral disc space narrowing throughout the lumbar spine. There were endplate osteophytes at all levels. There was mild diffuse facet joint arthrosis.
- 16. A x-ray of the thoracic spine found alignment was normal. There were small anterior osteophytes within the mid thoracic spine. Pedicles and spinous processes are intact.
- 17. On **provide a set of**, the claimant's therapist from **provide a completed a Residual Functional Capacity Assessment.** There was no evidence of limitations in the ability to remember locations and work-like procedures; the ability to understand and remember one or two-step instructions; and the ability to carry out simple, one or two-step instructions. The claimant was not significantly limited in the ability to perform activities within a

schedule, maintain regular attendance and be punctual within customary tolerances; the ability to make simple work-related decisions; the ability to maintain socially appropriate behavior and to adhere to basic standards of neatness and cleanliness; and the ability to be aware of normal hazards and take appropriate precautions. The claimant was rated as markedly limited in dealing with detailed instructions, interacting appropriately with the general public and accepting instruction and responding appropriately to criticism from supervisors.

- 18. On **Characteristic** the claimant underwent an independent psychological examination. Claimant appeared reality-based and oriented. He had adequate autonomy, mild dependencies and fair motivation and insight. His thought processes were logical and organized and his speech was clear and understandable. His memory and concentration were grossly intact. He did not evidence signs of psychosis or a thought disorder. Claimant reported feeling anxious all the time. He was oriented x 3. Claimant was diagnosed with bipolar disorder, mixed; PTSD; and social anxiety disorder and assigned a GAF of 49. It was noted that medications were starting to help his mood, but that he was just three months into treatment.
- 19. , the claimant underwent an independent medical On examination. Claimant had mild difficulty getting on and off the exam table and toe and heel walking. The claimant had moderate difficulty with squatting and hopping. The claimant had a wide-based gait with mild leftsided limp. The claimant does use a cane for ambulation over two blocks which is not required. The left lower extremity is 1 cm shorter than the right. Peripheral pulses are easily palpated and symmetrical. There was no edema. The claimant had no paravertebral spasms noted. The claimant had tenderness to palpation of the lumbar spine, bilateral hips and left knee. Range of motion of all joints checked was full. Straight leg raise was negative bilaterally. There was no erythema of effusion of any joint. Grip strength was normal. The hands had full dexterity. Strength was 5/5 throughout. Motor and sensory function remained intact. Reflexes were present and symmetrical.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that

meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a). ...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your Signs must be shown by statements (symptoms). medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result anatomical, physiological, from or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant is not ineligible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

Before considering step four of the sequential evaluation process, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine the claimant's residual functional capacity. 20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(e). An individual's residual functional capacity is his/her ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of the claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered. 20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8.

Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflect an individual who has the physical and mental capacity to engage in simple and repetitive sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis.

Next, the Administrative Law Judge must determine at step four whether the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his/her past relevant work. 20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 416.920(f). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the claimant to learn to do the job and have been SGA. 20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965. If the claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his/her past relevant work, the claimant is not disabled. If the claimant is unable to do

any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

In this case, this ALJ finds that claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The claimant's previous work activities were medium in exertional level, according to the Dictionary of Occupational Titles. The analysis continues.

At the last step of the sequential evaluation process, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is able to do any other work considering his/her residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 404.1520(g) and 416.920(g).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that he lacked the residual functional capacity to perform sedentary work if demanded of him. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that claimant had no residual functional capacity to perform other work. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he could not perform sedentary work. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual with a high school education or more and an unskilled or semi-skilled work history who can perform sedentary work is not considered disabled pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 201.27 and 201.28.

The 6th Circuit has held that subjective complaints are inadequate to establish disability when the objective evidence fails to establish the existence of severity of the alleged pain. *McCormick v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 861 F2d 998, 1003 (6th cir 1988).

As noted above, claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to 20 CFR 416.912(c). Federal and state law is quite specific with regards to the type of evidence sufficient to show statutory disability. 20 CFR 416.913. This authority requires sufficient medical evidence to substantiate and corroborate statutory disability as it is defined under federal and state law. 20 CFR 416.913(b), .913(d), and .913(e); BEM 260. These medical findings must be corroborated by medical tests, labs, and other corroborating medical evidence that substantiates disability. 20 CFR 416.927, .928. Moreover, complaints and symptoms of pain must be corroborated pursuant to 20 CFR 416.929(a), .929(c)(4), and .945(e). Claimant's medical evidence in this case, taken as a whole, simply does not rise to statutory disability by meeting these federal and state requirements. 20 CFR 416.920; BEM 260, 261.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

2012-53710/SLM

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is UPHELD.

/s/ Suzanne L. Morris Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed:	
Date Mailed:	

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

SLM/jk

