STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MAT	TTER OF:	
	Case	Docket No. 2012-53615 HHS No.
Appellant.		
DECISION AND ORDER		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> and upon Appellant's request for a hearing.		
Appellant's on Appellant interpreting Department	for her. of Community Health.	son-in-law, appeared and testified test ified on her own behalf , with her daughter Appeals Review Officer, repre sented the Adult Services Worker (ASW), from the ared as a witness for the Department.
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly terminate Appellant's Home Help Services (HHS)?		
FINDINGS (OF FACT	
	strative Law Judge, based u the whole record, finds as	pon the com petent, material and substantial material fact:
1.		Medicaid beneficiary who has been diagnosed ease of the lumbar spine, diabetes, of the knees, and a cyst in her brain. (Exhibit 1
2.	• •	
3.		conducted a home visit and reassessment of equir ed by policy. Both Appe Ilant and he to the visit. (Exhibit 1, pages 13-14).

Docket No. 2012-53615 HHS Decision and Order

- 4. Based on his assessment and information obtained from Appellant and her daughter during the home visit, ASW determined that Appellant did not meet the criteria for HHS as she no longer required any assistance with any ADLs. (Exhibit 1, pages 10-14; Testimony of the content of the content
- 5. ASW Patyi did find th at Appellant still required assistance with IADLs, but he still decided to ter minate Appellant's HHS because Department policy requires that a client need assistance with at least one ADL to receive services. (Testimony of
- 6. On the Land the Department issued an Adequate Negative Action Notice to Appellant indicating that Appellant's HHS were being terminated. (Exhibit 1, pages 6-8).
- 7. On the Department received Appellant's Request for Hearing in this matter. (Exhibit 1, page 5).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is established purs uant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with states the statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive car e in the least restrictive, preferred setti ngs. These activities must be certified by a physic ian and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual 101 (11-1-2011) (hereinafter "ASM 101") and Adult Services Manual 120 (11-1-2011) (hereinafter "ASM 120") address the is sues of what services are included in Home Help Services and how such services are assessed:

Home Help Payment Services

Home help services are non-specialized personal care service activities provi ded under the independent living services program to persons who meet eligibility requirements.

Home help services are provided to enable individuals with functional limitation(s), resulting from a medical or physical disability or cognitive impairment to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings.

Home help services are defined as those tasks which the department is paying for through Title XIX (Medicaid) funds.

Docket No. 2012-53615 HHS Decision and Order

These ser vices are furnis hed to individuals who are **not** currently residing in a hospital, nursing facility, licensed foster care home/home for the aged, intermediate care facility (ICF) for persons with developmental disabilities or institution for mental illness.

These activities must be certified by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies. The medical professional does not prescribe or authoriz e personal care services. Needed s ervices are determined by the comprehensive assessment conducted by the adult services specialist.

Personal care services which are eligible for T itle XIX funding are limited to:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- · Eating.
- · Toileting.
- · Bathing.
- Grooming.
- · Dressing.
- Transferring.
- · Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- · Taking medication.
- Meal preparation/cleanup.
- Shopping for food and other necessities of daily living.
- · Laundry.
- Housework.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's if the

Docket No. 2012-53615 HHS Decision and Order

assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater. [ASM 101, pages 1-2 of 4.]

Services not Covered by Home Help Services

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

 Supervis ing, monitoring, reminding, guiding or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2); [ASM 101, page 3 of 4.]

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the c lient's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating
- Toileting
- Bathing
- Grooming
- Dressing
- Transferring
- Mobility

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup
- Shopping
- Laundry
- Light Housework

Functional Scale

ADL's and IADL's ar e assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent

Docket No. 2012-53615 HHS Decision and Order

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Home Help payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessm ent determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these se rvices are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assess ed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be e ligible to rec eive assis tance with IADL 's if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

See ASM 121, Functional A ssessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rank ings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living. [ASM 120, pages 2-3 of 6.]

Here, it is not disputed that Appellant requires assistance with so me IADLs and the dispute turns on whether Appellant requires assistance with any ADLs. As described

Docket No. 2012-53615 HHS Decision and Order

above, an individual is only eligible to receive HHS for assistance with an IADL if he or she also has a need for assistance with an ADL at a level 3 or greater. <u>See</u> ASM 101, page 2 of 4; ASM 120, page 3 of 6.

The Department found that Appe Ilant has no such n eed and is therefore ineligible to receive any type of HHS. That decision was based on the information obtained from Appellant and her daught er during the home visit regarding Appellant's needs. For the reasons discussed below, this Administrati ve Law Judge finds that the Department's decision must be sustained.

Appellant received as sistance with ADLs such as bathing in grooming in the past, but each assessment stands on it is own and the Department is required to evaluate a client's needs at the time of the assessment. In this case, Appellant first argues that the Department erred in determining Appell ant's need is due to language issues and Appellant's inability to convey what she needs. However, Appellant's dau ghter was present during the reassessment and translated for Appellant. ASW testified that he was able to under stand Appellant's daughter. The Department is also justified in relying on what it was told during the assessment.

Appellant's representatives also attempted to provide evidence from Appellant's doctors regarding her current medical conditions and needs. However, as explained during the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge is limited to reviewing the Department's decision in light of the information available at the time it made that the decision. Therefore, evidence from Appellant's doctors produced a fter the termination of services is not relevant. To the extent Appellant now has new evidence in support of her claims, she can always reapply for HHS.

This Administrative Law Judge would furt her note that ASW Patyi's testimony was credible in this case. That testimony was also supported by ASW detailed notes taken at the time of the reassessment and describing exactly what he was told. (Exhibit 1, pages 13-14).

Appellant bears the bur den of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the Department erred in terminating her HHS. Here, given ASW credible testimony and notes, in addition to Appellant's unpersuasive argum ents, Appellant has failed to meet that burden.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly terminated Appellant's HHS.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Steven Kibit
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

Date Mailed: <u>10-07-2012</u>

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant March appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the rehearing decision.