### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2012-53521
Issue No.:	3008; 3022
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	June 14, 2012
County:	Wayne (31)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 14, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim ant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Eligibility Specialist.

#### ISSUE

Due to a failure to submit completed re determination, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case 🗍 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Program (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for was receiving: FIP KAP MA AMP SDA

2. CDC.

3. Cla imant 🛛 was 🔲 was not provided with a redetermination form.

4. Claimant was required to submit the completed redetermination by April 16, 2012.

- 5. On May 1, 2012, the Department
  denied Claimant's application
  closed Claimant's case
  reduced Claimant's benefits
  for failure to submit a completed redetermination in a timely manner.
- 6. The Department did not send notice of the

denial of Claimant's application.

 $\boxtimes$  closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

7. On May 16, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ⊠ closure. ☐ reduction.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is established by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, a client must complete a redet ermination at least every 12 months in order for the Department to determine the client's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 210 . A FAP client must also complete a phone interview. BAM 210. FAP benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unles s a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210.

In this case, the Department testified t hat it sent Claimant a redetermination in connection with his FAP case on March 31, 2012, with an April 16, 2012, due date. The Department did not receive a c ompleted r edetermination and closed Claimant's FAP case effective May 1, 2012, based on Claimant's failure to timely submit a completed redetermination.

At the hearing, Claimant ex plained that because someone had damaged his front door trying to break into his home, he had sec ured the front door wher e his mail slot was located closed, limiting his access to mail dropped in the slot. Claimant testified that his regular mail carrier understood that he did not use his front door for mail delivery and delivered his mail to the side door. However, substitute mail carriers were not aware of this situation and would sometimes deliver mail to the front door. Claimant testified that he checked the front door for mail infrequently, usually only if he happened to see that there was mail at the door when he walked past his home.

Claimant acknowledged that he did receive the redetermination form from the Department but that it had been delivered to his front door and he was not aware that it was there until after May 9, 2012, when he c hecked the front door after he was advised by the Department that his FAP case had closed because he had failed to complete and submit a r edetermination. Claimant admitted that, under these circumstances, he had not completed and submitted the redetermination.

While Claimant credibly te stified regar ding his mail situation, because the redetermination was delivered to Claimant to the address he ver ified on the record and was not returned to the Department as u ndeliverable, the Department completed its obligation to send out the redetermination form. BAM 210. Bec ause Claimant did not submit the completed redetermination, t he Department acted in acc ordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP cas e for failure to timely submit the completed redetermination. BAM 210.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

 $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly.  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is	REVERSED for the
reasons stated on the record.	

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 18, 2012

Date Mailed: June 18, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Reques P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### ACE/cl

