STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

Case

Docket No. 2012-53374 EDW No.

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 et seq. upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on Appellant's daughter, appeared on behalf of Appellant.

Community Services Director, appeared and testified on behalf of the Department's MI Choice Waiver Agency, t he Valley Area Agency on Aging, (Waiver Agency or Valley AAA).

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Waiver Agency properly change Appellant's 36 respite hours per week to 26 personal care hours per week?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Appellant is an (Exhibit A, p 3) old Medica id beneficiary, born
- 2. Appellant is currently enrolled in the MI Choice Waiver Program. (Testimony).
- Appellant's diagnosis in clude: Dementia, Hypertens ion, Arthritis, Urinary Obstruction, Joint Replaced (Hip), Esophageal Reflux, and Atrial Fibrillation. (Exhibit A, pp 8-9).
- 4. The Appellant lives alone in his ow n home. Appellant 's daughter is his primary caregiver. Appel lant's daughter liv es nearby and can help in an

emergency, but she also works 6 days per week. Appellant has no other informal supports. (Exhibit A, pp 3-5, 16; Testimony).

- 5. On Appellant in his home and performed a full reassessment. (Exhibit A, pp 3-16).
- 6. During the reassess ment the Waiv er Agency social worker and nurs e asked the Appellant questions , obs erved his abilities and c onsulted Appellant's other medical documentation. (Exhibit A, pp 3-16; Testimony).
- 7. Following the reassessment, the Waive r Agency determined that the hour s Appellant was receiving were improperly categorized as respite hours, so the hours were changed to personal care hours. It was also determined that Appellant's needs could be met with a reduction in Appellant's hours by 10 hour s per week. (Exhibit A, p 15; Testimony).
- 8. On **Example 1** the Waiver Agency provided Appellant with notice of the reduction in his personal care hours by 10 hours per week (Exhibit A, p 2).
- 9. On the Appellant's daughter requested a hearing to contest the reduction of personal care hours. (Exhibit 1). In her request, Appellant's daughter stated, in part:

He is homebound; he is unable to cook, or clean, he is unable to do his own laundry or shop for groceries. These aides take him for walks, drive him to Dr's appointments, and helps while he shower s, allowing him to remain in his home of 84 years. He also depends on these aides for companionship, and I am concerned if he loses these 10 hours he will need to move to a home. (Exhibit 1)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is establis hed purs uant to Tit le XIX of t he Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).

It is administered in accordance with stat e statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

This Appellant is c laiming services thr ough the Department's Home and Communit y Based Services for Elderly and Disabled (HCBS/ED). The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is funded through the federal Center s for Medicare and Medicaid (formerly HCFA) to the Mich igan Department of Community Health

Docket No. 2012-53374-EDW Hearing Decision & Order

(Department). Regional agen cies, in this case an Area Agency on Aging (AAA), function as the Department's administrative agency.

Waivers are intended to provide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services, or to adapt their programs to the special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to State plan requirements and permit a State to implement innovative programs or activities on a time-limited basis, and subject to specific saf eguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G of part 441 of this chapter. 42 CFR 430.25(b)

A waiver under section 1915(c) of the Social Security Act allows a State to include as "medical assistance" under its plan, home and comm unity based services furnished to recipients who would otherwise need inpatient care that is furnished in a hospital, SNF [Skilled Nursing Facility], ICF [Intermediate Care Facility], or ICF/MR [Intermediate Care Facility/Mentally Retarded], and is re imbursable under the State Plan. 42 CF R 430.25(c)(2)

Home and community based services means services not otherwise furnished under the State's Medicaid plan, that are furnished under a waiver granted under the provisions of part 441, subpart G of this subchapter. 42 CFR 440.180(a).

Home or community-based services may include the following services, as they are defined by the agency and approved by CMS:

- Case management services.
- Homemaker services.
- Home health aide services.
- Personal care services.
- Adult day health services
- Habilitation services.
- Respite care services.
- Day treatment or other parti al hos pitalization services, psychosocial rehabilitation services and clinic services (whether or not furnished in a facility) for rindividuals with chronic mental illness, subject to the conditions specified in paragr aph (d) of this section.

Other services requested by the agency and approved by CMS as cost effective and necessary to avoid institutionalization. 42 CFR 440.180(b).

The MI Choice Waiv er Program list servic es available under the waiver pr ogram and addresses the standards expected for each serv ice. The Operating Standards inc lude respite services.

The MI Choice Waiver defines Personal Care services as follows:

4.1.C. PERSONAL CARE

Personal Care services encom pass a range of assistance to enable program participants to accomplish tasks that they would normally do for themselves if they did not have a disability. This may take t he form of han ds-on assistance (actually performing a task for the participant) or cueing to prompt the participant to per services are provided on an basis. Health-related services that are provided may in clude skilled or nursing care to the extent permitted by State law.

Services provided through the waiver differ in scope, nature, supervision arrangement, or provider type (including provider training and qualificat ions) from Personal Care services in the State Plan. The chief differences between waiver coverage and State Plan servic es are those services that relate to provider qualificat ions and training requirements, which are more stringent for personal care provided under the waiver than those provided under the State Plan.

Personal Care includes ass istance with eating, bathing, dressing, personal hygiene, and activities of daily living. These ser vices may also include ass istance with more complex life activities. The service may include the preparation of meals but does not include the cost of the meals themselves. When specified in the plan of service, services may also include such housekeeping chores as bed making, dusting, and vacuuming that are incidental to the service furnished or that are essential to the healt h and welfare of the partici pant rather than the participant's family. Personal Care may be furnis hed outside the participant's home.

The MI Choice Waiver defines Respite services as follows:

4.1.D. RESPITE CARE

Respite Care services are provided to participants unable to care for themselves and are furnished on a short-term basis due to the absence of, or need of relief for, those individuals normally providing care for the participant. Services m ay be provided in the participant's home, in the home of another, or in a Medic aid-certified hospital or a licens ed Adult Foster Care facility. Respite care does not include the cost of room and board, except when provided as part of respite care furnished in a facility approved by MDCH that is not a private residence.

Services include:

- Attendant Care (participant is not bed-bound), such as companionship, supervision, and assistance with toileting, eating, and ambulation.
- Basic Care (participant may or may not be bedbound), such as assistance with ADLs, a routine exercise regimen, and self-medication.

Michigan Medicaid Provider Manual MI Choice Waiver Section July 1, 2012, Pages 10-11

The MI Choice Waiver Program is a Medicaid-funded program and its Medicaid funding is a payor of last resort. In addition, Medicaid beneficiaries are only entitled to medically necessary Medicaid c overed services. *42 CFR 440.230.* In order to assess what MI Choice Waiver Program services are m edically necessary, and therefore Medicaid-covered, the Waiver Agency performs periodic assessments.

The Appellant was receiving 36 hours per w eek of respite/personal care services through the MI Choice Waiver Pr ogram. The Appellant bears the burden of proving, by a preponderance of evidenc e, t hat the 36 respite/personal car e hours per week are medically necessary.

The Waiver Agency representative testifie d that following the completion of a full reassessment on a supervisory audit was also completed. During the audit, it was determined that Appellant's hours had previously been misclassified as "respite hours" when they were really "personal care hours". The representative e testified that this change did not affect in any way the services Appellant was receiving. However, the representative als o testified that during the audit it was determined that t Appellant's needs could be met with 26 per sonal care hours per week, scheduled 7:00-9:00 am and 4:00-6:00 pm Monday through Saturday, and 4:00-6:00 pm on Sundays.

Docket No. 2012-53374-EDW Hearing Decision & Order

Appellant's daughter testified that Appellant has been hospit alized twice since his hours were reduced back in Appellant and Appellant's daughter also testified that she now stays with Appellant at all times when she is not working, including overnight. Appellant's daughter indicated that Appellant is okay on his own for a couple of hours at a time, but that the reduction in hours has been very difficult on her and Appellant. Appellant's daughter testified that she now has to work fewer hours so that she can be with Appellant more often. Appellant's daughter did indic ate that she lives and works close by and is available in an emergency if her father needs assistance. Appellant's daughter testified that she fears she will have to place Appellant in a home if the hours are not reinstated. Appellant's daughter works Monday through Saturday from 6:30 am to 11:30 am. On Wednesday, Appellant's daughter works from 6:30 am until 5:00 pm.

This ALJ finds that the Waiver Agency pr operly authorized 26 hours per week as an appropriate number of personal care hours to meet the medically necessary needs of Appellant. The Appellant failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that 36 personal care hours per week were medically nec essary. It is clear that Appellant has significant medical is sues and requires signi ficant c are, but Appellant also has a daughter who is able to provi de him with significant informa I supports. Appellant also has personal care ser vices in his home for 4 hours per day 6 days per week, and 2 hours per day on the sev enth day. Medicaid beneficiaries are only entitled to medically necessary Medicaid c overed ser vices, thus additional personal care hours cannot be authorized for the Appellant based upon the evidence of record. *42 CFR 440.230.*

DECISION AND ORDER

Based on the above findings of fact and conclus ions of law, this Administrative Law Judge finds the MI C hoice Waiv er Agency properly reduced the A ppellant's personal care hours to 26 hours per week.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The MI Choice Waiver Agency's decision is AFFIRMED.

Robert J. Meade Administrative Law Judge for James Haveman Jr., Director Michigan Department of Community Health



*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.