STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201253216

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 14, 2012 County: Wayne DHS (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 14, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included Work Participation Program (WPP) Representative, and

ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefit eligibility due to Claimant's alleged noncompliance with WPP participation.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient.
- 2. Claimant was an ongoing WPP participant.
- Claimant had a 20 hour/week WPP obligation.
- 4. Claimant attended WPP on the following weeks for the following hours: 17 hours the week of 3/11/12, 17 hours the week of 3/18/12, 18 hours the week of 3/25/12 with 2 additional hours excused, 20 hours the week of 4/1/12 and 12 hours the week of 4/8/12.

- 5. On an unspecified date, DHS determined that Claimant was noncompliant with WPP participation due to repeated failures to meet the weekly participation obligation.
- 6. On 4/25/12, DHS mailed a Notice of Noncompliance to Claimant scheduling Claimant for a triage to be held on 5/4/12.
- 7. DHS determined Claimant lacked good cause for her WPP absences.
- 8. On 5/7/12, DHS initiated termination of Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility due to alleged noncompliance with WPP participation.
- 9. On 5/14/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FIP benefit termination.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A at 1. The DHS focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. *Id.* However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause. *Id.*

Participation with WPP (aka JET or Work First) is an example of an employment related activity. A Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and non-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care, and disqualified aliens), who fail, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. *Id.* Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following: delay in eligibility at application, ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period), case closure for a minimum period depending on the number of previous non-compliance penalties. *Id.*

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

 Appear and participate with the work participation program or other employment service provider.

- Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process.
- Develop a FSSP.
- Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
- Participate in required activity.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/ or self-sufficiencyrelated activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.

The testifying WPP representative implied that the only consideration in a client's absence from WPP is good cause. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. *Id* at 3. Good cause includes any of the following: employment for 40 hours/week, physically or mentally unfit, illness or injury, reasonable accommodation, no child care, no transportation, illegal activities, discrimination, unplanned event or factor, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. *Id* at 4.

In addition to good cause, DHS regulations also consider a client's excused absences. A client's participation in an unpaid work activity may be interrupted by occasional illness or unavoidable event. BEM 230A at 18. A WEI's absence may be excused up to 16 hours in a month but no more than 80 hours in a 12-month period. *Id.* The DHS policy allowing up to 16 hours per month of WPP absences tends to support finding that a client can be excused for up to 16 hours per month from WPP due to illness or unavoidable event as long as that absence does not push the client above the 16 hour/month or 80/twelve month absence limits.

DHS has completely separate policy sections between excused absences and good cause. Excused absences impact whether absences amount to noncompliance; good cause considers whether there is an excuse for apparent noncompliance. A claim of good cause must be verified. *Id* at 3; there is no explicit requirement for a client to verify an excused absence. Excused absences are capped by the hours affected; absences from good cause are uncapped. These differences support finding that excused absences are a factor in determining whether a client was WPP noncompliant.

The testifying WPP representative contended that clients must verify any absence from WPP. DHS regulations do not support this requirement. The WPP can require verification of an excused absence from a client but the requirement is not enforceable at an administrative hearing as long as DHS regulations contradict the requirement. DHS regulations do not require a client to verify a reason for an excused absence.

In the present case, it was not disputed that Claimant missed 6 hours of WPP in 3/2012 and 8 hours of WPP in 4/2012. Claimant testified that she had various absences due to illnesses and doctor appointments; the testimony supports a finding that the absences should have been excused. For good measure, Claimant presented documentation at the hearing which the testifying WPP Representative acknowledged tended to verify Claimant's excuse for her absences. Claimant's absences were within the amount allowed by DHS' excused absence policy. It is found that Claimant's absences from WPP should have been considered excused absences. Accordingly, DHS failed to establish that Claimant was noncompliant with WPP participation.

It was not disputed that the 6/2012 FIP benefit termination was based on alleged WPP noncompliance by Claimant. As DHS failed to establish that Claimant was noncompliant with WPP, it is found that DHS improperly terminated Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly terminated Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility effective 6/2012. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's FIP benefit eligibility effective 6/2012 subject to the finding that Claimant was complaint with WPP participation;
- (2) supplement Claimant for any benefits lost as a result of the improper finding of noncompliance;
- (3) remove any disqualification from Claimant's disqualification history as a result of the improper finding of noncompliance.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 21, 2012

Date Mailed: June 21, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

