STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201252975

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 18, 2012 County: Wayne DHS (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 18, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included Manager, and Response pursuant to MCL 400.9

<u>ISSUE</u>

The issue is whether DHS properly determined Claimant's eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP).

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP benefit recipient.
- Claimant was part a five person FAP benefit group.
- Claimant failed to verify any medical or dependent care expenses to DHS.
- Claimant was responsible for \$1239/month in housing expenses.
- On 5/1/12, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (Exhibit 1) informing Claimant of a \$583/month FAP benefit issuance effective 5/2012, in part, based on housing expenses of \$1274/month and \$0/month in medical and dependent care expenses.

6. On 5/14/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP benefit issuance for 5/2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, *et seq.*, and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001-3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Updates to DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Policy Bulletin (BPB).

Claimant disputed a \$583/month FAP benefit issuance effective 5/2012. Claimant noted that she received more FAP benefits in the past and that she did not understand why her FAP benefits were reduced. A FAP benefit issuance is calculated independently from prior FAP benefit determinations; past FAP benefit determinations are irrelevant to the correctness of the determination for 5/2012. BEM 556 outlines the proper procedures for calculating FAP benefit eligibility.

A FAP benefit determination begins with looking at household income. Claimant did not dispute that DHS properly budgeted \$1863/month in unearned income for Claimant's household.

DHS uses certain expenses to determine net income for FAP eligibility and benefit levels. BEM 554 at 1. For groups without a senior (over 60 years old), disabled or disabled veteran (SDV) member, DHS considers the following expenses: child care and excess shelter (housing and utilities) up to a capped amount and court ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members. For groups containing SDV members, DHS also considers the medical expenses for the SDV group member(s) and the full excess shelter expense.

Verified medical expenses for SDV groups, child support and day care expenses are subtracted from Claimant's monthly countable income. It was not disputed that DHS budgeted \$0 medical expenses to determine Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility. Claimant testified that she and her son had medical expenses; it was not disputed that Claimant failed to submit or verify any of the medical expenses. DHS is to only consider verified medical expenses. BEM 554 at 6. It is found that DHS properly determined Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility for 5/2012 due to Claimant's failure to verify medical expenses.

Claimant testified that she had dependent care expenses. It was undisputed that Claimant failed to report to DHS that she had dependent care expenses to DHS. Claimant should have no expectation of DHS calculating expenses when Claimant fails

to report having the expenses. It is found that DHS properly considered no dependent care expenses in the FAP benefit determination.

Claimant's FAP benefit group received a standard deduction of \$180. RFT 255. The standard deduction is given to all FAP benefit groups though the amount varies based on the benefit group size. The standard deduction is also subtracted from the countable monthly income to calculate the group's adjusted gross income. The adjusted gross income amount is found to be \$1683.

During the hearing, significant discussion was placed into Claimant's housing expenses; ultimately, the discussion was unnecessary. Claimant contended that she had \$1239/month in housing expenses. DHS actually credited Claimant with \$1274 in housing expenses, more expenses than Claimant had. Claimant has no reason to dispute a FAP benefit determination which credited her with a higher expense than what she actually had.

DHS gives a flat utility standard to all clients. BPB 2010-008. The utility standard of \$553(see RFT 255) encompasses all utilities (water, gas, electric, telephone) and is unchanged even if a client's monthly utility expenses exceed the \$553 amount. The total shelter obligation is calculated by adding Claimant's housing expenses to the utility credit (\$553); this amount is found to be \$1827.

DHS only credits FAP benefit groups with what DHS calls an "excess shelter" expense. This expense is calculated by taking Claimant's total shelter obligation and subtracting half of Claimant's adjusted gross income. Claimant's excess shelter amount is found to be \$986 (rounding up).

The FAP benefit group's net income is determined by taking the group's adjusted gross income and subtracting the allowable excess shelter expense. The FAP benefit group net income is found to be \$697. A chart listed in RFT 260 is used to determine the proper FAP benefit issuance. Based on Claimant's group size and net income, Claimant's FAP benefit amount is found to be \$583, the same amount calculated by DHS (see Exhibit 2). It is found that DHS properly determined Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility for 5/2012 as \$583 /month.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly determined Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility effective 5/2012 as \$583/month. The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

Christian Gardocki
Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 22, 2012

Date Mailed: June 22, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

