STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 25264 3003, 2014 November 16, 2011 Wayne County DHS (15)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris		
HEARING DECIS	<u>ION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request of telephone hearing was held on November of Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department) included, ES.	for a hearing. 16, 2011. from Claima <u>nt. Partic</u>	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department proper ☐ close Claimant's case for Medical Assistance daughter? ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for Food Assistance	e and impose a	spendown for her
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		ial, and substantial
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	ceived benefits for	r:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐		sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 On 10/1/11 and 11/1/11, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case Claimant's Medical Assistance and imposed a sp down on her daughter's Medical Assistance due to excess income. On 10/1/11 the Department ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits for food assistance due to excess income. 	end
3. On 9/27/11, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.	
 On October 7, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protes the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the medical assistance case. ☐ reduction of benefits of Food Assistance. 	ting
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	the
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.	si b
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-142 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independe Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) progeffective October 1, 1996.	193, nce 101
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Fed Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independe Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, F 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	d is leral ence
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Socurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFT The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	FR).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistation disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to N 400 10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400 3151 through Rule 400 3180.	own

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, in this case the Department reduced the Claimant's Food Assistance and closed the Claimant's Medical Assistance for herself and imposed a spendown for the Claimant's child due to excess income. The Department mistakenly counted only half the Claimaint's income when originally computing the benefits. The income amounts used to recompute the new budgets were based on pay stubs provided by the Claiman and are correct.
A review of the medical budgets indicate that the Department properly closed the Claimant's Medical GP2 case, as her earned income exceeded the income limit of \$375 for an adult caretaker and her daughter's income limit of \$500 was exceeded by \$1604 This was based on earned income from employment and child support income. The budgets as calculated and presented by the Department are correct.
The Department also correctly calculated the Claimant's Food Assistance effective October 1, 2011 when it included the correct earned income of \$1941 and child support received. The Food Assistance was reduced because the Department included the correct earned income of \$1941 and child support for June, July and August. It was noted during the hearing that the Claimant received no child support in September and the Department agreed to recalculate benefits to account for the loss of child support income to be reflected in December 2011 FAP benefits. The Claimant also challenged the Fap budget as incorrect, as it did not include child care expenses she incurred and provided to the Department. The Claimant credibly testified that she provided the information on two occasions to her prior assigned Department caseworker and to her current caseworker. Based on Claimant's testimony the Department is required to recalculate FAP benefits for July, August, September, October, and if applicable November 2011 to include the valid child care expenses incurred by the Claimant wher computing the Claimant's FAP benefits for those months.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits FAP benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess some, the Department \square properly \square improperly				
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits FAP benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case FOR Medical Assistance and imposed a spendown on the claimant's daughter's Medical Assistance. 				
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.				
	DECISION AND ORDER				
of ⊠ ⊠	e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when it closed the MA case and imposed a spendown did not act properly when it calculated the Claimant's FAP benefits without including lid care expenses.				
	cordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA closure and spendown culation SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED				
	e Department's $oxtimes$ FAP decision is REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record and in this decision.				
	THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF IE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:				
1.	The Department shall initiate recalculation of the Claimant's FAP benefits to include child care expenses for the months of July, August, September, and October 2011 (and November if provided by the Claimant).				
2.	The Claimant shall have 10 days from the reciept of this Decision and Order to submit the child support expenses for July, August, September, October, 2011 and				
3.	November, if not already resubmitted. The Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for any FAP benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy for the recalculated FAP benefit months.				
	Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				

Date Signed: 11/23/11

Date Mailed: 11/23/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

