STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-52066 3002 June 12, 2012 Oakland 02		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for telephone hearing was held on June 12, 2012 from	for a hearing.	After due notice, a		

behalf of Claimant included

of Department of Human Services (Department) included

Specialist) and (Eligibility Specialist)	cialist).		
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: [☑ received benefits for:		
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).		

(Claimant's father). Participants on behalf

(Eligibility

2.	On April 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3.	On March 22, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On May 4, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. Closure of the case. reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, Claimant, due to a Department budgeting error, received a \$200.00 monthly FAP allotment until the Department discovered the error and rebudgeted her FAP benefits. Claimant had RSDI income of \$923.00 at all times and also had monthly earned income from employment in the amount in excess of \$750. Following the correction, Claimant's FAP was reduced to \$16.00. The Administrative Law Judge calculated the FAP benefits and found that the Department's \$16.00 monthly FAP allotment was correct.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \square improperly			
☐ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case			
for:			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
/S/ C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director			
Date Signed: 6/18/12			
Date Mailed: 6/18/12			

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/ds

