STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-51923 3008 July 12, 2012 Oakland (63-03)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 12, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:			
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	_	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac	_	rial, and substantial	
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	_	esistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	

	On May 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application due to failure to verify bank account balance.
	On April 30, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4. (On May 9, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
-	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 l Age thro	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ancy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ctive October 1, 1996.
prog impl Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is lemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule .3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL .105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ninistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Serv	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through e 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, Claimant could not produce verification of the specified credit union balance for the month in question. Claimant admitted he never submitted verification to the Department, as the account was an old account with a minimum balance and he forgot about it. It is found and determined that Claimant has failed to provide sufficient evidence to establish that he cooperated with the Department in relation to the verification of a bank account asset. The Department is required to consider all assets in determining benefit levels for every customer, and the customer has the duty to cooperate to the fullest. As there is insufficient evidence to establish cooperation, the Department's termination of FAP benefits to Claimant is affirmed.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: July 17, 2012

3

Date Mailed: July 17, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

