STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-51637 Issue No.: 2023/5016

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 6, 2012

County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reques t for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 6, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to exclusive assets, did the Department properly deny the Claim ant's application for State Emergency Relief (SER) funds and close Claimant's case for Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the test imony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Medical Assistance benefits.
- 2. On April 2, 2012 Claimant applied for SER benefits to assist with her utility costs.
- 3. On April 9, 2012 a Notice of Case Action was sent closing Claimant's MA due to excess assets.
- 4. Claimant's SER application was also denied due to excess income.
- 5. On May 1, 2012, the Department closed Claimant's MA case due to excess assets.

6. On May 11, 2012, Claimant filed a hear ing request, protesting the denial of the application of her SER application and the closure of her MA case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Otate Francisco Dell'et (O.F.D.)

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies ar e found in the Stat e Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA based on disability. She app lied for SER to pay for utility costs. The Department denied the application for utility costs because of the claimant's assets as stated on her application.

The SER group must use countable cash assets to assist in resolving their emergency. The protected cash asset limit is \$50. **Exclude the first \$50 of an SER gro up's cash assets. (ERM 205).**

Here, the claimant's assets would have been protected so as to exclude \$50.00 of the claimant's assets. The claimant claimed assets of \$2,327.49 in a banking account at the time of application, an unearned income of \$1,497.00 per month. These figures cause d the department to rightfully deny the claimant's SER application.

Additionally, the department closed the claimant's MA for ex cess assets. The limit for a MA group of one is \$2,000.00. The claimant show ed assets of \$2,327.49, at the time of her SER application. This is over the limit for a MA group of one (BEM 400).

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the decision of the Department is AFFIRMED.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 17, 2012

Date Mailed: October 17, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

2012-51637

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/ctl

