## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-51407 5032 July 16, 2012 Wayne (82-15)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. O	wens		
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for person hearing was held on July 16, 2012, from behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her respectively.  Participants on behalf of the Department preparty dany Claimant's request.	r a hearing. Afte n Detroit, Michiga epresentative, e Department o	er due notice, an in- an. Participants on of Human Services	
Did the Department properly deny Claimant's requassistance with shelter emergency?	est for State Eme	rgency Relief (SER)	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial	
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received be	enefits for:		
<ul><li></li></ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	
<ol> <li>On May 4, 2012, the Department</li> <li>         ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed due to failure to meet icome requirements.</li> </ol>	Claimant's case		

3.	On May 4, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On May 7, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application.   closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
pro 40	be State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER ogram is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by, 1999 AC, Rule 0.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State nergency Relief Manual (ERM).
red wo	aimant applied for SER. The Department denied Claimant's application on May 4, 12, based on insufficient income to maintain a new residence. Claimant testified she ceives a minimum of \$688 in Social Security benefits. She also testified she was orking at the time of application. The Department presented a copy of a budget which dicated no household income. Claimant does, in fact, have income and this income as not utilized according to the budget submitted.
De De Th mo the sh	should be noted that Claimant was able to move into a residence based upon the epartment's original letter of approval issued on April 12, 2012. However, the epartment denied the assistance prior to the Claimant's landlord receiving payment erefore, Claimant's required payment of \$60 was given to the landlord and she eved into the residence. Claimant has since been evicted from this residence due to be failure to pay the remaining balance required for the residence. Claimant testified e has since filed another SER request to help relocate and this application has yet to processed.
sta	ased upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied improperly denied Claimant's SER application for assistance th shelter emergency.
	DECISION AND ORDER
of	e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
	cordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ SER $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC cision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reprocessing of Claimant's SER application;
- 2. Complete a new SER budget and determine eligibility;
- 3. Issue a written determination.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 24, 2012

Date Mailed: July 24, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2012-51407/JWO

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JWO/pf

