# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 201251292

Issue No: <u>1038</u>

Case No: June 27, 2012

Monroe County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, June 27, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included

Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly terminate and sanction the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. As of March 30, 2012, the Claimant was enrolled in WF/JET. The Claimant's was a mandatory participant.
- 2. Prior to March 30, 2012, Mr. Cavalli elected to participate in the VOT program within WF/JET. The VOT program required to submit bi-weekly verifications of his school attendance. The Department communicated to that the verifications could be dropped off or faxed to the Department.
- 3. On March 30, 2012, failed to submit to the Department his bi-weekly school verifications.

- 4. On April 5, 2012, the Department contacted his verifications were passed due. The Department told he had until noon on April 6, 2012 to submit the past due verifications.
- 5. On April 6, 2012, failed to turn into the Department the requested verifications.
- 6. On April 9, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of noncompliance. The notice indicated had failed to participate in a required employment and/or self-sufficiency activity. The notice indicated a triage was to take place on April 19, 2012.
- 7. On April 9, 2012, the Claimant submitted past due verifications. At the time of submission, the Department informed the Claimant about the scheduled triage and act of noncompliance.
- 8. On April 19, 2012, and the Department participated in a triage. During the triage, indicated he had forgotten about the verifications. Based upon the triage discussion, the Department found the Claimant did not have good cause for failing to timely submit his verification forms.
- 9. On April 23, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Department was closing the Claimant's FIP benefits effective June 1, 2012 due to noncompliance with WF/JET.
- 10. On May 2, 2012, the Claimant filed a request for hearing in protest of the April 23, 2012 notice of case action.
- 11. This is the Claimants second finding of noncompliance.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

#### 201251292/CAA

The goal of the FIP penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self-sufficiency-related assignments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance may be an indicator of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI), see <u>BEM 228</u>, who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

See <u>BEM 233B</u> for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the FIP penalty is closure. For the Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see <u>BEM 233C</u>. BEM 233A, p. 1.

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

## Failing or refusing to:

- .. Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
- .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- .. Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
- .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- .. Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- .. Accept a job referral.
- .. Complete a job application.

- .. Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
  - . Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
  - . Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
  - Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. (BEM 233A, pp. 1-2).

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. Document the good cause determination in Bridges and the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

# Good cause includes the following:

- . The person is working at least 40 hours per week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.
- The client is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical evidence or other reliable information. This includes any disability-related limitations that preclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance.
- The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or an immediate family member's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- . For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.

- . For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- . The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would complete in a triage meeting. Note in the client signature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA.

If the FIS, JET case manager, or MRS counselor do not agree as to whether "good cause" exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involved to reach an agreement.

DHS must be involved with all triage appointment/phone calls due to program requirements, documentation and tracking.

**Note:** Clients not participating with JET must be scheduled for a "triage" meeting between the FIS and the client. This does not include applicants. (BEM 233A, p. 7).

If the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, do **NOT** impose a penalty. See "<u>Good Cause for Noncompliance</u>" earlier in this item. Send the client back to JET, if applicable, after resolving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. Do not enter a new referral on ASSIST. Enter the good cause reason on the DHS-71 and on the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

If the client does NOT provide a good cause reason within the negative action period, determine good cause based on the best information available. If no good cause exists, allow the case to close. If good cause is determined to exist, delete the negative action. (BEM 233A, pp. 10-11).

#### 201251292/CAA

Noncompliance is defined by Department policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and participating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing job applications, participating in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, providing legitimate documentation of work participation, etc. (BEM 233A).

Based on the testimony and the evidence submitted, I do not find the Claimant had good cause for the noncompliance. Although the Claimant alleged to have been sick during the time period in question, the Claimant was unable to provide any medical documentation to cover the entire time period in question. The Claimant also alleged to have an issue with child care which resulted in their failure to timely submit the verifications. However it was undisputed that the Claimant could have faxed the verification documents to the Department.

The Claimant also alleged to have an issue with child care which resulted in their failure to timely submit the verifications. However it was undisputed that the Claimant could have faxed the verification documents to the Department.

The Claimant also alleged to have been sick during the time period in question. The Claimant also alleged to have an issue with child care which resulted in their failure to timely submit the verifications.

Therefore, based on material, competent and substantial evidence, I find the Department properly closed and sanctioned the Claimant's FIP case as the Claimant did not provide a good cause reason as to why the verifications were not submitted timely.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, that:

1. The Department properly terminated and sanctioned the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with WF/JET requirements.

Accordingly, the Department's actions are **AFFIRMED**.

<u>/s/</u>

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 27, 2012

Date Mailed: June 28, 2012

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or

#### 201251292/CAA

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# CAA/tb



