## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201250837Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:4000; 4031Hearing Date:August 8, 2012Shiawassee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 8, 2012. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on her behalf. Participants on behal f of the Dep artment of Human Servic es (Department) included

The hearing record was extended 90 days for a 2 <sup>nd</sup> SHRT review of medic al reports submitted at the hearing. (Claimant Exhibit A).

# **ISSUE**

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant's MA-P/SDA application on J anuary 5, 2012 was denied on April 25, 2012 per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on April 30, 2012.
- 2. Claimant was age 52, with a high experience of skilled of marketing Packet, Pg. 27). school education or more, and work and insurance s ales work (Medical
- 3. Claimant's last empl oyment ended October 2010 due to being laid off; therefore, she became an unemployment compensation benefits recipient with an exhaustion date of June 2011.
- Claimant alleges disability due to medically diagnosed dis orders of rheumatoid arthritis, liver inflam mation and neuropat hy in com bination (Medical Packet, Pgs. 22 & 85).

### 201250837/WAS

- 5. Medical reports of record state the Claimant on:
  - June 22, 2011: Is limited to lifting/carrying up to 5 pounds from 1 to a. a  $3^{ra}$  of an 8 hour work day; that her pain c an be aggravated b y lifting/carrying objects; that she c an stand/walk in a re gular 8 hour day without interruption 30 minut es due to pai n i n the feet aggravated by prolonged standing/wal king; that she does not need a cane in occasional standing/ walking in an assistiv e device; that she can sit a total of 1 to 2 hours without interruption 30 minutes at a time due to back pain and long periods of sitting; that she needs to take unscheduled rest periods every 15 to 30 minutes for 10 minutes for every 1 to 2 hours; that she has significant limitations with reaching, handling or fingering; that she is likely to produce good days and bad days for more t han four days per month; that she can hold her head occas ionally in the static position; that she can rarely look down (sustained fluxion of neck), turn head, look up; that she can never twist, stoop, cr ouch/squat, climb ladders, climb stairs: that her pain symptoms ar e severe enough t o frequently interfere with the attention and concentration needed to perform even simple work duties (Claimant Exhibit A, Pgs. 5 - 11).
  - b. September 1, 2011: Is in no acute distress, well-nourished and well-developed; that in the musculoskeletal area s he has no cervical s pine tend erness; that she has normal mobility a nd curvature; that she has no t horacic spine tenderness, normal mobility a nd curvatu re; that s he has lu mbar spin e tendern ess. normal mobility and curvature; that she has no joint deformity of the left shoulder, and a full range of motion; that right shoulder has no joint deformity with a full range of motion; that right and left elbows have no joint deformity with a full range of motion; that right and left hands have no joint deformity with a full range of motion; that right and left hips have no joint deformity with a full range of motion; that left pelvis is non-tender to palpitation and right pelvis is non-tender to palpitation; that right and left k nees have crepitus with mild pain with motion (Medical Packet, Pg. 39).
  - c. February 22, 2012: S he has no acute fracture or dis location seen involving t he lumbar spine; that vertebrae body heights are well maintained; that minimally redu ced disk s pace is seen at L5-S1 level; that bone mineralization is normal; that mild lumbar spondylotic changes are seen; and t hat the conclus ion is mild degenerative changes (Medical Packet, Pg. 12).
- 6. Medical reports of record do not state the Claimant's disorders meet/equal a Social Security listing.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assist ance (SDA) program which provides f inancial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depar tment of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish disability in accordanc e with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If di sability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1, dis ability is not denied. The ev idence of rec ord established the Claimant has not been engaged in substantial gainful activities since October, 2010.

Step 2, disability is denied. The medical ev idence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's significant functional incapacity to perform basic work activities due to a severe physic al impairment in combination for the required one year continuous duration, as defined below.

## Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit

your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

## SEVERE IMPAIRMENT

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gainful work and the duration criteria (20 CFR 416.920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultima tely favorable dis ability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The medic al reports of record are mostly progress reports. They do not provide m work limitations in order to determine whet impairment for the required duration. Di diagnosed disorders significantly functionally incapacitate impair the Claimant's basic work activities? Do the Claimant's basic work ability slightly, mildly, moderatel y (non-s evere impairment, as defined above) or severely as defined above?

The medic al evidence of record on June 22, 2011 established the Claima nt's severe physical impairment. Findings of fact 5a, and on September 1 2011 and February 22, 2012 a non-severe physical impairment. Findings of facts 5b & c. There was a substantial physical im provement after June, 2011. Even if there had not been

### 201250837/WAS

an improv ement, the total durational peri od was less than the 12 month required continuous duration.

The medical evidence of record does not impairment for the required duration.

establish claimant's severe Physical

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 3. The medic al evidenc e of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's impairments meet/equal a Social Security listing for the required duration.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 4. The medic al evidenc e of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's functional incapacity, despite her impairments, to per form any of her past work as an insurance planner and sales pe rson for the required one year continuous duration.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits.

Therefore, disability has not been est ablished at Step 2 and also would not be established at Steps 3, 4 and 5 by the compet ent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA denial is **UPHELD**.

William A Sundquist William A. Sundquist

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2013

Date Mailed: April 9, 2013

**<u>NOTICE</u>**: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# WAS/jk

CC: