STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2012-49904

Issue No.: 2006

Case No.: Hearing Date:

Hearing Date: August 30, 2012 County: Wayne (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 30, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on be half of the Dep artment of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Departm ent properly $oxtime $ deny Claiman t's application $oxtime $ close Claimant's case for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? 				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 				

2.	On April 18, 2012, the Department			
3.	On April 18, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.			
4.	On April 24, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.			
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.			
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .			
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.			

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis her and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Recon The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Reand 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	ent Block Grant of ciliation Act of 1996. egulations, Parts 98				
Additionally, At the heari ng the department documented that it had documentation from the client in a medical verification checklist set. The department testified that the claimant had not returne documentation. (BAM 130). The claimant testified that he could not of getting the forms completed by medical practitioners. The claimant he had not contacted ther department about his inability to get the forms.	nt March 15, 2012. d the requested t afford the expense ant also testified that				
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Cl □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ imprope					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \text{did not act properly.}					
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
Admir for Mau	Michael J. Bennane nistrative Law Judge ra Corrigan, Director t of Human Services				

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of

Date Mailed: September 26, 2012

the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

