# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2012-49522 Issue No.: 2000/4000 Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 30, 2012 County: Wayne (43)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

## SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 30, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on be half of the Dep artment of Human Services (Department) included

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	<ul><li></li></ul>

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 1, 2012, the department cl osed the claimant's MA; on March 1, 2012, closed the claimant's SDA.

2.	On April 6, 2012, the Department Authorized Hearing Representative) o		Claimant (or Claimant's
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.		
3.	On May 2, 2012, Claimant filed Department's action.	a request for he	earing concerning the
	CONCLUSIO	NS OF LAW	
Eligibi	rtment policies are found in the Bridges ility Manual (BEM), the Reference Table Manual (ERM).		` ,
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) onsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconder 6C 601, et seq. The Department (formally) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 40 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the ive October 1, 1996.	c iliation Act of 19 nerly k nown as 00.10, <i>et seq</i> ., an	996, Public Law 104-193, the Family Independence ad 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101
progra implei Regul Agend	e Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for am] is establis hed by the Food St a mented by the federal regulations cor ations (CFR). The Department (form by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 001 through Rule 400.3015.	amp Act of 1977, ntained in Title nerly known as	as amend ed, and is 7 of the Code of Federal the Family Independenc e
Secur The D	e Medical Ass istance (MA) program is ity Act and is im plemented by Title 42 of Department of Human Services (formate) by) administers the MA program purs 05.	of the Code of F erly known as the	ederal Regulations (CFR). e Family Independenc e
	e Adult Medical Program (AMP) is histered by the Department pursuant to		
for dis Service progra	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) prosabled persons, is established by 200 ces (formerly known as the Family I not am pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., 400.3180.	04 PA 344. The dependence Age	D epartment of Human ncy) administers the SDA

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rul e 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department police ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate the claimant's MA back to its closure of February 1, 2012, and the claimant's SDA back to its closure of March 1, 2012.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

## THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Reinstate t he claimant's MA back to its closure of February 1, 2012, and the claimant's SDA back to its closure of March 1, 2012

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 26, 2012

Date Mailed: September 26, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# MJB/cl

