#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2012-4932

 Issue No.:
 1021

 Case No.:
 Issue

 Hearing Date:
 November 17, 2011

 County:
 Wayne (49)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded Claimant and Claimant's mother. Participant s on behalf of Depart ment of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Specialist.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly cl ose Claimant's case for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- 2. On October 11, 2011, the Department notified Claimant that Claimant's FIP case would clos e becaus e Claimant exceeded the lifetim e limit on the receipt of FIP assistance.
- On October 14, 2011, 2011, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing, disputing the Department's action on the basis that the Department
   ☑ miscalculated the number of months Claimant had received FIP benefits.
   ☑ improperly determined Claimant's group composition.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Departm ent policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Referenc e Tables Manual (RFT).

FIP is not an entitlement. BEM 234. Time limits are e ssential to establishing the temporary nature of aid as well as communicating the FIP philosophy to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. BEM 234. BEM 234 restricts the total cumulative months that an individual may receive FIP benefits to a lifetime limit of 48 months for state-funded FIP cases and 60 months for federally-funded FIP cases.

Additionally, at the hearing, the Department clarified that Claimant's FIP benefits were terminated because s he had rec eived 99 mont hs of federally-funded FIP benefits an d therefore exceeded the 60-month limit for federally-funded FIP cases. Claimant testified that she did not recall receiv ing 99 months of FIP benefits. However, the Department produced f or Claimant's review at the hearing a chart listing each of the months Claimant had received FIP be nefits, which exceeded 60 m onths. Claimant contended that there were cert ain months that she did not receive FIP benefits because she was sanctioned. The federal count for FIP benefits. BEM 234. However, Claimant was unable to provide any specificity with regard to t he months at issue t o challenge the chart t produced by the Department and offered no evidence to support her claim. Thus, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case on the basis that Claimant had exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit for FIP benefits.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department indicated did act properly in the did not act properly is the state of the st

Accordingly, the Department's decision is ☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED

for the reasons stated above and on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 13, 2011

Date Mailed: December 13, 2011

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/ctl



# 2012-4932

