## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

(76)

 		_
 <b>TILE</b>		 
THE	N/I /\ I	<i>,</i> , , , ,

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 49104 3021 May 29, 2012 Wayne County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferri	s	
HEARING DEC	ISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on May 29, 2012, the behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Partifuman Services (Department) included and Res.	t for a hearing. From Detroit, Michi <u>cipan</u> ts on behalf o	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
ISSUE		
Due to excess assets, did the Department prop   ☐ close Claimant's case for:	erly 🗌 deny the C	claimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance (FAP)?		Assistance (AMP)?  Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, including the test fact:		
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received	benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance (FAP)</li></ul>		Assistance (AMP).  Assistance (SDA).
2. Due to excess assets, on May 1, 2012, the D	epartment	

## 201249104/ LMF

	☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.			
3.	On April 13, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.			
4.	On April 23, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .			
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.				
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			

Additionally, the Claimant conceded that he had assets in excess of \$5,000 at the time that the Department closed his FAP case. Exhibit 1. As was explained by the Department at the hearing, as of October 1, 2011 the Department policy found in BEM 400 changed. As a result, food assistance recipients, in order to be eligible, could not

have assets in excess of \$5,000. Thus, based upon this change in policy, the Department correctly closed the Claimant's FAP case. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\boxtimes$  FAP. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA FAP decision is ☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: 1. Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: May 31, 2012 Date Mailed: May 31, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 201249104/ LMF

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/hw

