STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	MΔ	TTFR	OF.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-48632 6019 August 27, 2012 Oakland (63-04)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. C	ŕ	,		
HEARING DI				
This matter is before the undersigned Adminis and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requetelephone hearing was held on August 27, 201 behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department)	est for a hearing. 2, from Detroit, Mich . Par	After due notice, a		
<u>ISSUI</u>	E			
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Claimant's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS O	F FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial		
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive	d benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On March 26, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to excess income.				
3.	On March 26, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.				
4.	On April 22, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.				
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.				
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.				
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.				

oxtimes The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the application and income in

Additionally, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the application and income in question and found no errors. After reviewing Claimant's earned income, the Administrative Law Judge has calculated that Claimant has a monthly total gross income of \$1,641, after taking into account the 2.15 multiplier for bi-weekly paychecks as required by BEM 505. The income eligibility limit per RFT 270 for a group size of two is \$1,607. Claimant, thus, exceeds this limit and must be considered ineligible for the CDC program. Policy provides no exceptions for this limit, and an Administrative Law Judge has no equitable powers with which to override this limit. Claimant was unable to point to any errors in the budget at hand.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

| properly denied Claimant's application | improperly denied Claimant's application | improperly closed Claimant's case | improperly closed Claimant's case |
| for: | AMP | FIP | FAP | MA | SDA | CDC.

| DECISION AND ORDER

| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department | did act properly. | did not act properly.

| Accordingly, the Department's | AMP | FIP | FAP | MA | SDA | CDC decision is | AFFIRMED | REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 5, 2012

Date Mailed: September 5, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

