

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-48544  
Issue Nos.: 2009, 4031  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 16, 2012  
County: Oakland (63-04)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Robert J. Chavez

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 16, 2012, by teleconference in Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of claimant included [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED]

**ISSUE**

Was the denial of claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits for lack of disability correct?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA on January 17, 2012.
2. On April 5, 2012, the Medical Review Team denied MA-P and SDA.
3. On April 9, 2012, claimant was sent a notice of case action.
4. On April 26, 2012, claimant filed for hearing.
5. On June 15, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied MA-P and SDA.

6. On July 16, 2012, a hearing was held before the Administrative Law Judge.
7. On January 12, 2010, claimant applied for SSI through the Social Security Administration (SSA).
8. Claimant was denied SSI due to a negative disability determination by an Administrative Law Judge.
9. Claimant has been denied disability by the Appeals Council for the SSA in February 2012.
10. Claimant's Medicaid application did not claim an additional impairment or a change or deterioration in his condition that the SSA had not made a determination on.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (Department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in BAM, BEM and BRM.

It should be noted that claimant did not file a hearing request for SDA; therefore, the Administrative Law Judge cannot consider that program. However, even if that program were considered, as claimant does not meet the requirements for the MA-P program, claimant does not meet the requirements for the SDA program. The 90-day durational limitation for SDA was considered in this decision.

Department policy at BEM 260 states a claimant's SSA determination that disability or blindness does **not** exist for SSI is **final** for MA if the determination was made after 1/1/90, and no further appeals may be made at SSA or the client failed to file an appeal at any step. A determination may proceed however, if the claimant alleges a totally different disabling condition than the condition the SSA based its determination on, or an additional impairment(s) or change or deterioration in his/her condition that SSA has **not** made a determination on.

In the present case, claimant was found by the SSA to be ineligible for RSDI and SSI benefits based upon disability. Claimant testified to this information, and the undersigned is satisfied as to claimant's credibility. Claimant has no further appeals remaining at the SSA. Therefore, as claimant has had a final determination of not


disabled by the SSA, the Administrative Law Judge must find that the determination is final for the purposes of the MA-P programs. Therefore, the undersigned finds that claimant does not meet the Department's definition of disabled for the purposes of MA programs.

Furthermore, as claimant fails to meet the disability standards for the SSI program, claimant fails to meet the disability standards for the SDA program as well. The undersigned has considered whether claimant was disabled for a period of 90 days or more and decides that claimant does not meet those standards.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that claimant is not medically disabled for the purposes of the MA programs.

Accordingly, the Department's decision in the above-stated matter is, hereby, **AFFIRMED**.



**Robert J. Chavez**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 1, 2012

Date Mailed: August 1, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:

2012-48544/RJC

- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

cc:

