STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	TER OF:	Reg. No: Issue No: Case No: Hearing Date: Grand Traverse	•	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A Sundquist				
	HEARING DEC	CISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, May 31, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and her Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included				
	<u>ISSUE</u>			
	ailure to comply with the verificatideny Claimant's application close			
Food Ass	idependence Program (FIP)? sistance Program (FAP)? Assistance (MA)?	State Disability Ass Child Development		
	FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>		
	strative Law Judge, based upon the the whole record, including testimon	•	-	
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for □ was re □CDC.	eceiving:	AP ⊠MA □SDA	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was □ was not p (DHS-3503)	provided with a Ver	ification Checklist	
3.	Claimant was required to submit re	quested verification b	y April 2, 2012.	

4.	On April 6, 2012, the Department \boxtimes denied Claimant's application \square closed Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On April 6, 2012, the Department sent notice of the 🖂 denial of Claimant's application. \square closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On April 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial. \square closure. \square reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adm	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal by and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective 1996.
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 15
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known by Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 e Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u>
William A Sundquist Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 1, 2012

Date Mailed: June 1, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/tb

