STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: July 24, 2012 County:

201248133 2009, 4031

Muskegon

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, а telephone hearing was held on July 24, 2012. Claimant ap peared and provided testimony on his behalf. Participants on behal f of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

The record was extended 90 day s for a 2nd SHRT review of medical reports introduced at the hearing. (Claimant Exhibit A and B).

ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 4, 2011 Claimant application of MA-P/SDA, was denied on March 6, 2012 per BEM 260/261, and reques ted a hearing on April 17, 2012.
- 2. Claimant was age 30, with a high school education, and work experience as an ass embler of fans for stores and freezers, stocking shelves at Meijer's, plastic mold injection and inspections, and food service worker at McDonalds.
- 3. Claimant's employment ended on August 2006 due to a firing. Two weeks ago applied for a job at Walmart and Sam's Club for work in office

inspecting and pack aging parts weig hing 2 to 15 pounds, and working at McDonalds.

- 4. Claimant alleges disability due to the diag nosed medical impairments of mental illness, fibromyalgia, stomach problems, anxiety, depression, panic attacks, agoraphopia.
- 5. Medical reports of record state the Claimant on:
 - a. March 7, 2011, has a current GA F score of 59 (DHS Exhib it A, Page 61).
 - b. August 12, 2011, has good memory and is well oriented as to time, place and person; that emotion status ap pears normal; that behavior, social ability, attenti on and concentration are all with in normal limits; that Claim ant is of normal affe ct; that he has normal gait; that he has no abnormal neur ologic signs that can be delineated; that deep tendon reflexes and the superficia I reflexes are all normal; that he has nor mal pulses in a ll extremities; that he has normal muscle tone; and that (DHS Exhibit A, Pages 38-40).
- 6. State Hear ing Review Team deci sion dat ed June 12, 2012 st ates the Claimant's impairments do not m eet/equal a Soc ial Sec urity listing (DHS Exhibit A, Page 108).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your cl aim further. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish disability in accordance with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, disability is not denied. The evidence of record established the Claimant has not been engaged in substantial gainful activities since August 2006.

At Step 2, disab ility is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's significant functional incapacity to perform basic work activities due to sev ere mental and phys ical impairments for a one (1) continuou s duration, as defined below.

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

SEVERE IMPAIRMENT

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gainful work and the duration criteria (20 CFR 416.920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does

not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultima tely favorable dis ability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The medic al evidence of record establish 2011, this score is considered a non-seve functioning. DSM-IV (4th edition-revised). the Claimant's GAF score of 59 in March re mental impairm ent with occupational-

The Claimant's mother testified that she h ad looked at some of t he medical reports of record and doesn't recall reading any report addressing the Claimant's inability to work for a 1 year continuous dura tion, 90 day duration for SDA, nor assessments o f Claimant's work restrictions.

This Administrative Law Judge read over all the medical reports of record and came to same conclusion as the mother.

The Claimant testified that he has been looking for him same or similar past work.

Whether an individual has one or a combination of medical impairments, the non-severe or severe impairment determination is the same. The medical evidence of record must establish, on date of application, a significant functional incapacity to perform basic work activities for the required duration.

In this case, the medical reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progr ess reports. They do not provi de medical ass essments of Claimant's mental/physical limitat ions relative to his functional incapacity to perform basic work activities, as defined above. 20 CFR 416.913(c)(1) and (2). Stated differently, does the combination mental/physical im pairment medically impair the Claimant slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above?

The medical evidence of record does not establish a combinat ion sever e mental/physical impairment meeting the one year continuous duration. It established a non-severe impairment.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 3. The medic al evidenc e of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's impairments meet/equal a Social Security listing for the required duration.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 4. The medic al evidenc e of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's significant functional incapacity, despite his impairments, to perform any of his past work for the required one year continuous duration.

At Step 5 the burden of proof shifts to the Department of Human Service s (DHS) to establish the Claimant's RFC.

201248133/WAS

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2 and 4, it would also be denied at Step 5. The medical evidence of record, on dat e of application, does not establis h the Claimant was without a residual functional capacity (RFC), despite his impairments, to perform other work in the National Economy for the required 1 year continuous duration.

...Your residual functional capacit y is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assess ment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of <u>Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occa sionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which in volves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if wa Iking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

The medic al ev idence of record, on date of application, does establish the Claiman t RFC for less strenuous work than his past work, such as sedentary work, as defined above. Under the Medical-Vocational G uidelines, Rule 201.27, a younger indiv idual age 30, with high sc hool ed ucation, and an unskilled/semi-skilled work histo ry who is limited to sedentary work is not considered disabled.

The department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy s tatements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistanc e benefits either.

201248133/WAS

Therefore, disability has not been establish at Step 2 and also would not be established at Steps 3, 4 and 5 by the competent, materi al and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA denial is **UPHELD**.

William A Sundquist William A. Sundquist

William A! Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 17, 2013

Date Mailed: April 17, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

201248133/WAS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/tb

