STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF.		Issue No: Case No: Hearing Date: Kent County Di	4003 May 30, 2012	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JU	DGF: William A Sunda	-	10	
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, May 30, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and control of Human Services (Department) included and				
	<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to a failure to comply properly deny Claimant's benefits for:				
		tate Disability Ass hild Developmen	sistance (SDA)? t and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Jud evidence on the whole record	•		•	
1. Claimant ☐ a¡ ☐CDC.	oplied for 🛛 was recei	ving: FIP I	FAP □MA ⊠SDA	
2. Claimant ☐ √ (DHS-3503)	was 🛛 was not prov	ided with a Ve	rification Checklist	
Claimant was re	equired to submit reque	sted verification l	ov March 26, 2012.	

4	On April 2, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case submit verification in a timely manner.			
5	On April 2, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
6	On April 11, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
•	ent policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Respons 42 USC Agency) 3131.	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective 1, 1996.			
program impleme Regulat	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is inted by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ons (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R1-3015			
Security The Dep	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Partment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
for disal as the f	State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance pled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.			
and XX 1990, and The pro and 99.	Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Gram is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.			

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/ William A Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 31, 2012

Date Mailed: May 31, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;

201247845/WAS

the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/tb

